Colorado Supreme Court 2 East 14 th Ave. Denver, CO 80203	DATEED FIRM Mand 5, 2014 SUPREME COURT
Original Proceeding Pursuant to § 1-40-107 (2), C.R.S. (2012), Appeal from the Ballot Title Board	MAR 0 5 2014 OF THE STATE OF COLORADO Christopher T. Ryan, Clerk
In the Matter of the Title, Ballot Title, and Submission Clause for Proposed Initiatives 2013- 2014 #54	
Petitioner:	▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲
Stacy Carpenter	
V.	
Respondents:	
Chris Forsyth and Laurie Forsyth,	
And	
Title Board:	
Suzanne Staiert, Daniel Domenico and Jason Gelender	
Chris Forsyth and Laurie Forsyth, pro se 3155 Ingalls St. Wheat Ridge, CO 80214 Telephone: 303-238-8864	Case Number: 2014SA64
UNOPPOSED MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR REVIEW	

COMES NOW the Respondents, Chris Forsyth and Laurie Forsyth, pro se, and respectfully submit this Unopposed Motion to Dismiss Petition for Review as follows:

1. This matter is before the Court on Petitioners' Petition for Review of ballot title set on proposed initiative 2013-2014 #54.

Respondents have abandoned proposed initiative 2013-2014 #54.
Respondents redrafted the initiative and started the process over again with legislative council.

3. Because Respondents are no longer pursuing #54, it would be a waste of time for this Court to review the title as set by the title board.

4. Respondents have conferred with Mark Grueskin, Petitioner's counsel, as well as Maurice Knaizer of the Attorney General's office, which represents the title board. There is no objection to this Motion to Dismiss.

5. A briefing schedule issued in this matter on February 28, 2014. It is respectfully requested that this Motion to Dismiss be granted so the parties do not spend needless times preparing briefs.

6. Because this matter is likely to return to this Court for the redrafted initiative #79, Respondents would like to take a moment to address the briefing schedule and service. Pursuant to C.R.S. § 1-40-107 (2), the Supreme Court is to dispose of this matter promptly. Two weeks or more have been provided by the

2

Court for briefs. Two weeks is the normal amount of time for petitions for certiorari, which may be served by mail at least in workers' compensation matters. C.A.R. Rule 53. Respondents request that in the future the Court adopt a sevenday briefing schedule regarding this simple matter of title. Time is of the essence because signatures need to be obtained. A seven-day briefing schedule is consistent with 'promptly', and is consistent with the fact that the Court has taken service by mail away as an option. As the initiative regards judicial reform, the Court has shown, at a minimum, an appearance of impropriety with its current briefing schedule. The Court has taken service by mail away as an option when petitions for certiorari, which are on a fourteen-day briefing schedule, can be mailed. The appearance is that the Court is making it as difficult a possible for citizen proponents of initiatives, particularly initiatives regarding judicial reform, to get through the process.

Respectfully submitted this 5th day of March, 2014, by:

Chris Forsyth

3155 Ingalls St. Wheat Ridge, CO 80214

ersith

Laurie Forsyth 3155 Ingalls St. Wheat Ridge, CO 80214

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 5th day of March, 2014, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing Unopposed Motion to Dismiss Petition for Review to the following:

<u>Via hand-delivery</u>: Colorado Supreme Court 2 East 14th Ave. Denver, CO 80203

Attorney General's Office Attn: Maurice Knaizer 1300 Broadway, 10th Floor Denver, CO 80203

Mark Grueskin Recht Kornfeld, P.C. 1600 Stout Street, Suite 1000 Denver, CO 80202

Laurie Forsyth