

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO
101 West Colfax #800
Denver, Colorado 80202

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING PURSUANT TO C.R.S.
§ 1-40-107(2)

IN THE MATTER OF THE TITLE, BALLOT
TITLE AND SUBMISSION CLAUSE FOR 2009-
2010 #90 ("Use of Colorado Water Streams")

Petitioners: JOHN GREGORY LEEDE, Objector

v.

Respondents: ROBERT HAMEL and JAY P.K.
KENNEY, Proponents.

and

Title Board: WILLIAM A. HOBBS, DANIEL
CARTIN and DANIEL D. DOMENICO.

Attorneys for Respondents:
Kara Veitch, Atty. Reg. No. 32227
Isaacson Rosenbaum P.C.
1001 17th Street, Suite 1800
Denver, Colorado 80202
Phone Number: (303) 292-5656
Fax Number: (303) 292-3152
E-mail: kveitch@ir-law.com

FILED IN THE
SUPREME COURT

JUN 15 2010

OF THE STATE OF COLORADO
SUSAN J. FESTAG, CLERK

▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲

Supreme Court Case
Number: 2010SA133

AGREED-TO MOTION FOR DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

The Proponents/Respondents, by and through their attorneys of record, and with the consent of Petitioners and the Title Board, hereby move to dismiss the pending proceeding before the Colorado Supreme Court without prejudice, and as grounds therefore state:

1. Robert Hamel and Jay P.K. Kenney ("Proponents") proposed Initiative 2009-2010 #90 ("Initiative"). On April 21, 2010, the Title Board conducted its initial public meeting and set the title, ballot title and submission clause for the Initiative. John Gregory Leede ("Petitioner") filed a Motion for Rehearing on April 28, 2010. The Motion for Rehearing was heard by the Title Board on April 30, 2010. At the rehearing, the Board granted in part and denied in part the Motion for Rehearing and set the Title. Petitioner filed a Petition for Review of Final Action of Ballot Title Setting Board Concerning Proposed Initiative 2009-2010 #90 with this Court on May 7, 2010. Opening Briefs were filed on May 21, 2010 and Answer Briefs are due June 4, 2010.

2. The Initiative's Proponents have elected to withdraw the Initiative at this time, and shall not seek certification of the Initiative to the November 2010 General Election ballot. The Initiative's Proponents further agree not to seek approval of a petition circulation format, nor circulate petitions, nor submit signatures, nor consent or agree to any other person or entity circulating petitions


or any other person's submission of signatures on behalf of the Initiative's Proponents for certification to the November 2010 General Election Ballot.

3. Given the Proponent's decision to withdraw the Initiative, all Parties agree that the pending Petition for Review of Final Action of Ballot Title Setting Board Concerning Proposed Initiative 2009-2010 #90 should be dismissed.

Wherefore, the Proponents, with the consent of Petitioner and the Title Board, respectfully move that this proceeding be dismissed without prejudice.

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of June, 2010.

ISAACSON ROSENBAUM P.C.


By:  _____
Kara Veitch
Attorneys for Respondents/Proponents

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 14th day of June, 2010, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Agreed-to Motion for Dismissal without Prejudice, was placed in the United States mail, first class postage prepaid, addressed to the following:

Douglas J. Friednash, Esq.
Cuneyt A. Akay, Esq.
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
1200 17th Street, Suite 2400
Denver, CO 80202

Maurice G. Knaizer, Esq.
First Assistant Attorney General
State Services Section
1525 Sherman Street, 6th Floor
Denver, CO 80203



Mary Kovalik