

michaels, kathryn

From: dailey, john
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2022 2:33 PM
To: michaels, kathryn
Subject: RE: Sometime, when you get a minute, would you look up the history behind the adoption of Crim. P. 39 in 2002.

How about forwarding just this:

From: Uhlmann, Sheryl
Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 9:58 AM
To: dailey, john (john.dailey@judicial.state.co.us) <john.dailey@judicial.state.co.us>
Subject: Crim.P. 43(e)(3) issue

Good Morning Judge Dailey,

I hope you are well and staying warm. I'm writing because, while I am reluctant to resurrect discussions about Crim.P. 43(e)(3), it came to my attention this morning that the rule doesn't require the consent of the defendant to proceed by interactive audio or audio-visual device at a court trial. This seems like an oversight on our part given the nature of other hearings which do require the defendant's consent.

Best,

Sheryl Uhlmann
Office Head, Steamboat Springs Regional Office
Colorado State Public Defender
1955 Bridge Ln. Suite 2100
Steamboat Springs, CO 80487
(970) 879-0645 ext. #2