

02/26/2015 Minute Order (print)  
JURY TRIAL - DAY 25  
FEBRUARY 26, 2015

Event ID: 000659 E-Filed: N

JUDGE SAMOUR REPORTERS: ELMSHAUESER MORNING; TROYANEK  
AFTERNOON DEFENDANT APPEARS IN CUSTODY WITH HIS ATTORNEYS DAN KING, TAMARA  
BRADY, REBEKKA HIGGS, KATHERINE SPENGLER, AND KRISTEN NELSON. THE PEOPLE  
ARE REPRESENTED BY GEORGE BRAUCHLER, KAREN PEARSON, RICH ORMAN,  
JACOB EDSON, AND LISA TEESCH-MAGUIRE.

MORNING SESSION:

THE COURT GIVES THE PARTIES A COPY OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR E-MAIL NUMBER 71.  
THE COURT ALSO GIVES THE PARTIES COPIES OF EMAIL RESPONSES THAT WERE SENT  
TO PROSPECTIVE JURORS YESTERDAY ALONG WITH DOCUMENTATION FROM TWO  
PROSPECTIVE JURORS SHOWING THEY DO NOT LIVE IN ARAPAHOE COUNTY. THE  
PARTIES PROVIDE THE COURT WITH STIPULATED PROPOSED RESPONSES TO  
PROSPECTIVE JUROR E-MAILS NUMBERED 70 THROUGH 74.

THE PEOPLE MAKE A RECORD REGARDING POTENTIALLY FRAUDULENT EMAILS RECEIVED  
BY PROSPECTIVE JURORS. (PROSPECTIVE JUROR EMAILS NUMBER 68 AND 69). THE  
PEOPLE BELIEVE THE EMAILS ARE NOT SPECIFICALLY TARGETED TO THIS CASE AND  
ARE PART OF A NATIONAL PHISHING SCAM. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 367 FAILS TO  
APPEAR THIS MORNING AND WILL BE RESCHEDULED. THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE  
WITH THE GROUP OF FIVE PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO APPEAR THIS MORNING.

THE COURT AND THE PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE WITH THE FIVE  
PROSPECTIVE JURORS. BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, PROSPECTIVE JURORS  
224, 368, 370, 375, AND 376 ARE EXCUSED FROM JURY SERVICE IN THIS CASE.  
THE PEOPLE AND THE DEFENSE HAVE AGREED THAT DR. REID SHOULD HAVE ACCESS TO  
AN UNREDACTED COPY OF ORDER D-264A-3 REGARDING REDACTIONS TO HIS  
VIDEOTAPED SANITY EXAMINATION OF THE DEFENDANT. THE COURT ORDERS THAT THE  
PEOPLE MAY PROVIDE DR. REID AND OTHER EXPERTS, AS WELL AS THE ATTORNEY  
GENERAL'S OFFICE, WHICH REPRESENTS CMHIP, UNREDACTED COPIES OF ORDER D-  
264A-3. THE COURT ASKS THE PEOPLE IF THEY STILL INTEND TO FILE A MOTION  
REGARDING NOT HAVING CERTAIN GRAPHIC IMAGES DISPLAYED PUBLICLY IN THE  
COURTROOM OR NOT DISPLAYED ON CERTAIN MONITORS IN THE COURTROOM. THE  
PEOPLE INFORM THE COURT THEY ARE STILL INTENDING TO FILE SUCH A MOTION AND  
ARE IN THE PROCESS OF GATHERING FEEDBACK FROM THE NAMED VICTIMS REGARDING  
THIS ISSUE. THE PEOPLE WILL GIVE THE COURT AN UPDATE ON THE STATUS OF THE  
MOTION TOMORROW.

AFTERNOON SESSION:

THE DEFENDANT AND THE SAME ATTORNEYS ARE PRESENT.  
PROSPECTIVE JURORS 387 AND 388 HAVE FAILED TO APPEAR. BOTH HAVE BEEN  
RESCHEDULED. THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE GROUP OF THREE  
PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO APPEAR THIS AFTERNOON. THE COURT AND PARTIES  
CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE WITH THE THREE PROSPECTIVE JURORS. WITHOUT  
OBJECTION, PROSPECTIVE JUROR 378 IS QUALIFIED FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE.  
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 382 AND 389 ARE EXCUSED BY STIPULATION OF THE  
PARTIES.

/CMR

02/26/2015 Minute Order (No Print)  
COURTROOM 202 MONITOR THIS MORNING WAS XXX AND THIS AFTERNOON WAS XXX /CMR

Event ID: 000661 E-Filed: N

02/27/2015 Minute Order (print)  
JURY TRIAL - DAY 26  
FEBRUARY 27, 2015

Event ID: 000660 E-Filed: N

JUDGE SAMOUR REPORTERS: MARTIN MORNING; TROYANEK AFTERNOON  
DEFENDANT APPEARS IN CUSTODY WITH HIS ATTORNEYS DAN KING, TAMARA BRADY,  
REBEKKA HIGGS, KATHERINE SPENGLER, AND KRISTEN NELSON. THE PEOPLE ARE  
REPRESENTED BY GEORGE BRAUCHLER, KAREN PEARSON, RICH ORMAN, JACOB EDSON,  
AND LISA TEESCH-MAGUIRE.

MORNING SESSION:

THE COURT GIVES THE PARTIES COPIES OF REPLIES FROM PROSPECTIVE JURORS  
ALONG WITH COPIES OF THE STIPULATED RESPONSES SENT YESTERDAY TO  
PROSPECTIVE JURORS BY COURT STAFF. THE PARTIES PROVIDE THE COURT WITH A  
STIPULATED PROPOSED RESPONSE TO PROSPECTIVE JUROR E-MAIL NO. 74.  
PROSPECTIVE JURORS 2884 AND 425 ARE RELEASED BY STIPULATION OF THE  
PARTIES. THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE GROUP OF SIX PROSPECTIVE  
JURORS WHO APPEAR THIS MORNING. THE COURT AND THE PARTIES CONDUCT  
INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE WITH FIVE OF THE SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS. BY  
STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, PROSPECTIVE JURORS 386 AND 397 ARE QUALIFIED  
AND ASKED TO RETURN FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE. BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES,  
PROSPECTIVE JURORS 404 AND 401 ARE EXCUSED FROM JURY SERVICE IN THIS CASE.  
THE COURT DENIES IN PART AND DEFERS IN PART THE DEFENSE'S CHALLENGE FOR  
CAUSE AS TO PROSPECTIVE JUROR 396. THE PARTIES WILL LET THE COURT KNOW ON  
MONDAY IF A POTENTIAL WITNESS WHO WORKS WITH THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAY BE  
CALLED AT TRIAL OR REFERENCED DURING THE TRIAL. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 398  
WILL RETURN FOR THIS AFTERNOONS SESSION.

AFTERNOON SESSION:

THE DEFENDANT AND THE SAME ATTORNEYS ARE PRESENT.  
THE COURT GIVES COPIES OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR E-MAIL NUMBER 76 TO THE  
PARTIES. THE COURT AND PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE WITH  
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 398. BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, PROSPECTIVE JUROR  
398 IS QUALIFIED FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE. THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH  
THE GROUP OF FOUR PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO APPEARED FOR THIS AFTERNOONS  
SESSION. BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, PROSPECTIVE JUROR 412 IS  
QUALIFIED FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 94, 407 AND 415 ARE  
EXCUSED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES. /CMR

02/27/2015 Minute Order (No Print)  
COURTROOM 202 MONITOR ALL DAY TODAY WAS XXX.

Event ID: 000662 E-Filed: N  
/CMR

03/02/2015 Minute Order (No Print)  
EVENT ID 643 WAS DELETED BECAUSE IT WAS A DUPLICATE MINUTE ORDER.

Event ID: 000663 E-Filed: N  
/JRZ

03/02/2015 Order

Event ID: 000664 E-Filed: N

THE COURT ENTERS ORDER AMENDING AND SUPPLEMENTING ORDER D-264A-3 REGARDING  
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SUPPRESS/EXCLUDE SPECIFIC EXCHANGES BETWEEN DR. REID  
AND MR. HOLMES DURING SECOND SANITY EXAMINATION AND TO REDACT THEM FROM  
ANY VIDEO RECORDING OF THE SECOND SANITY EXAMINATION INTRODUCED INTO  
EVIDENCE (D-264A-4). COPIES SENT VIA EMAIL TO KAREN PEARSON, RICH ORMAN,  
JACOB EDSON, LISA TEESCH-MAGUIRE, GEORGE BRAUCHLER, SHERILYN KOSLOSKY,  
RHONDA CRANDALL, DANIEL KING, TAMARA BRADY, KRISTEN NELSON, AND CHRISTINA  
TAYLOR. /JRZ

03/02/2015 Minute Order (print)  
JURY TRIAL - DAY 27  
MARCH 2, 2015

Event ID: 000665 E-Filed: N

JUDGE SAMOUR REPORTERS: TROYANEK MORNING; MARTIN AFTERNOON  
DEFENDANT APPEARS IN CUSTODY WITH HIS ATTORNEYS DAN KING, TAMARA BRADY,  
REBEKKA HIGGS, KATHERINE SPENGLER, AND KRISTEN NELSON. THE PEOPLE ARE  
REPRESENTED BY GEORGE BRAUHLER, KAREN PEARSON, RICH ORMAN, JACOB EDSON,  
AND LISA TEESCH-MAGUIRE.

MORNING SESSION:

THE COURT GIVES THE PARTIES COPIES OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR EMAILS 77 AND 78,  
A REPLY FROM PROSPECTIVE JUROR EMAIL 66, AND COPIES OF THE STIPULATED  
RESPONSES SENT ON 2/27/15 TO PROSPECTIVE JURORS BY COURT STAFF. THE  
PARTIES PROVIDE THE COURT WITH A STIPULATED PROPOSED RESPONSE TO  
PROSPECTIVE JUROR EMAIL 72. BASED ON EMAILS RECEIVED, PROSPECTIVE JURORS  
3111, 5739, 488, AND 4337 ARE RELEASED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES.  
THE DEFENSE REQUESTS LEAVE OF THE COURT TO FILE A SUPPLEMENT TO MOTION D-  
206. THE COURT ALLOWS DEFENSE COUNSEL TO FILE ARTICLES FROM THE MEDIA, AN  
AFFIDAVIT THEY HAVE OBTAINED, AND STATISTICS THEY HAVE GATHERED FROM THE  
QUESTIONNAIRES. THE DEFENSE WILL FILE THOSE DOCUMENTS WITHIN A WEEK.  
ORAL ARGUMENT WILL BE HELD ON THE MATTER AFTER INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE IS  
COMPLETED. THE DEFENSE MAKES A FURTHER RECORD REGARDING THE EXCHANGE  
BETWEEN THE PEOPLE AND PROSPECTIVE JUROR 412 LAST FRIDAY AFTERNOON. THE  
DEFENSE OBJECTS TO SOME OF THE QUESTIONS AND STATEMENTS BY THE DISTRICT  
ATTORNEY, ASSERTING THEY HAD THE EFFECT OF EASING THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS  
SENSE OF RESPONSIBILITY OF IMPOSING THE DEATH PENALTY. IN ADDITION, THE  
DEFENSE OBJECTS TO THE COLLOQUY BETWEEN THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND  
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 412 REGARDING THE PROSECUTIONS BURDEN OF PROOF. BOTH  
OBJECTIONS ARE OVERRULED BY THE COURT. THE COURT FOLLOWS UP WITH THE  
PARTIES REGARDING PROSPECTIVE JUROR 396. THE PEOPLE INFORM THE COURT THEY  
WILL NOT BE CALLING THE WITNESS THAT PROSPECTIVE JUROR 396 WORKS WITH, AND  
THEY DON'T ANTICIPATE THIS WITNESS TESTIFYING AT TRIAL. THE DEFENSE  
CONTINUES TO ASK THE COURT TO EXCUSE PROSPECTIVE JUROR 396. THE COURT  
TAKES THE MATTER UNDER ADVISEMENT. THE DEFENSE INFORMS THE COURT THAT IT  
WOULD NOT OBJECT TO RECONSIDERATION OF THE POLICY REGARDING THE  
RESCHEDULING OF JURORS WHO DO NOT SHOW UP FOR INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE. THE  
DEFENSE WOULD NOT OBJECT TO PENCILING THEM IN FOR THE WEEK AFTER THE LAST  
WEEK OF INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE CURRENTLY SCHEDULED (ENDING ON APRIL 16) IS  
COMPLETED. THIS WOULD AVOID SCHEDULING SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS IN THE  
AFTERNOONS. THE COURT TAKES THE MATTER UNDER ADVISEMENT. THE COURT  
CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE GROUP OF SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO APPEAR  
THIS MORNING. THE COURT AND THE PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE WITH  
THE SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS. BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, PROSPECTIVE  
JURORS 299, 403, 418, 420, AND 318 ARE EXCUSED FROM JURY SERVICE IN THIS  
CASE. THE COURT DENIES THE PEOPLES CHALLENGE FOR CAUSE AND QUALIFIES  
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 429 FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE.

AFTERNOON SESSION:

THE DEFENDANT AND THE SAME ATTORNEYS ARE PRESENT.  
THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE GROUP OF SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO  
APPEAR THIS AFTERNOON. THE COURT AND THE PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR  
DIRE WITH THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 430, 448, 453, AND  
388 ARE EXCUSED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES. THE DEFENSES CHALLENGE FOR  
CAUSE WITH RESPECT TO PROSPECTIVE JUROR 443 IS GRANTED OVER THE PEOPLES  
OBJECTION. BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, PROSPECTIVE JUROR 454 IS  
QUALIFIED FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE.

/CMR

03/02/2015 Minute Order (No Print) Event ID: 000667 E-Filed: N  
COURTROOM 202 MONITORS TODAY ARE XXX IN THE MORNING AND XXX IN THE  
AFTERNOON. /CMR

03/03/2015 Minute Order (print) Event ID: 000666 E-Filed: N  
JURY TRIAL - DAY 28  
MARCH 3, 2015

JUDGE SAMOUR REPORTERS: TROYANEK MORNING; MARTIN AFTERNOON  
DEFENDANT APPEARS IN CUSTODY WITH HIS ATTORNEYS DAN KING, TAMARA BRADY,  
REBEKKA HIGGS, KATHERINE SPENGLER, AND KRISTEN NELSON. THE PEOPLE ARE  
REPRESENTED BY GEORGE BRAUCHLER, KAREN PEARSON, RICH ORMAN, JACOB EDSON,  
AND LISA TEESCH-MAGUIRE.

MORNING SESSION:

THE COURT GIVES COPIES OF FOLLOW-UP EMAILS TO THE PARTIES. THE PARTIES  
PROVIDE STIPULATED PROPOSED RESPONSES TO PROSPECTIVE JUROR EMAILS 77 AND  
78 WHICH WILL BE SENT BY COURT STAFF TO THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS. BASED ON  
EMAILS RECEIVED, PROSPECTIVE JUROR 694 IS RELEASED BY STIPULATION OF THE  
PARTIES. THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE GROUP OF SIX PROSPECTIVE  
JURORS WHO APPEAR THIS MORNING. THE COURT AND THE PARTIES CONDUCT  
INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE WITH THE SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS. BY STIPULATION OF  
THE PARTIES, PROSPECTIVE JURORS 456, 461, 474, AND 479 ARE EXCUSED FROM  
JURY SERVICE IN THIS CASE. BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, PROSPECTIVE  
JUROR 466 AND 470 ARE QUALIFIED FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE. AFTERNOON SESSION  
THE DEFENDANT AND THE SAME ATTORNEYS ARE PRESENT. THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR  
DIRE WITH THE GROUP OF FIVE PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO APPEAR THIS AFTERNOON.  
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 489 IS NOT PRESENT DUE TO A MEDICAL EMERGENCY. HE WILL  
BE RESCHEDULED. THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE FIVE PROSPECTIVE  
JURORS WHO APPEARED FOR THIS AFTERNOONS SESSION. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 713,  
482, AND 480 ARE EXCUSED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES. THE COURT DENIES  
THE DEFENSES CHALLENGE FOR CAUSE TO PROSPECTIVE JUROR 354 AND HE WILL  
RETURN FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 486 IS QUALIFIED FOR GROUP  
VOIR DIRE WITHOUT OBJECTION. /CMR

03/03/2015 Minute Order (No Print) Event ID: 000669 E-Filed: N  
COURTROOM 202 MONITORS TODAY ARE XXX IN THE MORNING AND XXX IN THE  
AFTERNOON. /CMR

03/04/2015 Minute Order (print) Event ID: 000671 E-Filed: N  
JURY TRIAL DAY 29  
MARCH 4, 2015

JUDGE SAMOUR REPORTERS: TROYANEK MORNING; AMATO AFTERNOON  
DEFENDANT APPEARS IN CUSTODY WITH HIS ATTORNEYS DAN KING, TAMARA BRADY,  
REBEKKA HIGGS, KATHERINE SPENGLER, AND KRISTEN NELSON. THE PEOPLE ARE  
REPRESENTED BY GEORGE BRAUCHLER, KAREN PEARSON, RICH ORMAN, JACOB EDSON,  
AND LISA TEESCH-MAGUIRE.

MORNING SESSION:

THE COURT GIVES COPIES OF NEW AND FOLLOW-UP EMAILS TO THE PARTIES. BASED  
ON EMAILS RECEIVED, PROSPECTIVE JUROR 66 IS RELEASED BY STIPULATION OF THE  
PARTIES. THE COURT MAKES A RECORD REGARDING THE PART OF THE DEFENDANTS  
CHALLENGE TO PROSPECTIVE JUROR 396 THAT WAS DEFERRED. THAT PROSPECTIVE  
JUROR APPEARED FOR INDIVIDUAL QUESTIONING ON 2/27/15. BASED ON CARRILLO  
V. PEOPLE, 974 P.2D 478 (COLO. 1999) AND PEOPLE V. SAMSON, 302 P.3D 311  
(COLO. APP. 2012), THE COURT FINDS THERE ARE NO GROUNDS TO EXCUSE  
PROSPECTIVE JUROR 396 FOR CAUSE.

ACCORDINGLY, THE PART OF THE DEFENDANTS CHALLENGE THAT WAS OUTSTANDING IS NOW DENIED. THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE GROUP OF SIX PROSPECTIVE

JURORS WHO APPEAR THIS MORNING. THE COURT AND THE PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE WITH THE SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS. BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, PROSPECTIVE JURORS 497, 511, 513, AND 516 ARE EXCUSED FROM JURY SERVICE IN THIS CASE. THE DEFENDANTS CHALLENGE FOR CAUSE WITH RESPECT TO PROSPECTIVE JUROR 495 IS DENIED; SHE WILL RETURN FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE. BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, PROSPECTIVE JUROR 502 IS ALSO QUALIFIED FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE.

AFTERNOON SESSION:

THE DEFENDANT AND THE SAME ATTORNEYS ARE PRESENT.

THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE GROUP OF SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO APPEAR THIS AFTERNOON. THE COURT AND THE PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE WITH THE SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 524, 365, AND 528 ARE EXCUSED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES. THE DEFENDANTS CHALLENGE TO PROSPECTIVE JUROR 525 IS GRANTED. THE DEFENDANTS CHALLENGE FOR CAUSE TO PROSPECTIVE JUROR 526 IS DENIED; SHE WILL RETURN FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 527 IS QUALIFIED FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE WITHOUT OBJECTION.

/JRZ

03/04/2015 Minute Order (No Print)  
COURTROOM 202 MONITOR TODAY IS XXX.

Event ID: 000672 E-Filed: N  
/JRZ

03/05/2015 Motion

Event ID: 000670 E-Filed: N

PEOPLE'S MOTION TO LIMIT THE PUBLIC DISPLAY OF SOME ADMITTED EXHIBITS, SPECIFICALLY AUTOPSY PHOTOGRAPHS, CRIME SCENE PHOTOGRAPHS CONTAINING IMAGES OF HOMICIDE VICTIMS, AND CRIME SCENE VIDEOS CONTAINING IMAGES OF HOMICIDE VICTIMS, AND TO LIMIT VIEWING TO THE PARTIES, TO THE COURT, AND TO THE JURY (P-118) STD 201 3 5 15

/LKO

03/05/2015 Minute Order (No Print)  
COURTROOM 202 MONITOR TODAY IS XXX.

Event ID: 000673 E-Filed: N  
/JRZ

03/05/2015 Minute Order (print)  
JURY TRIAL DAY 30  
MARCH 5, 2015

Event ID: 000674 E-Filed: N

JUDGE SAMOUR REPORTERS: FIKANY MORNING; AMATO AFTERNOON  
DEFENDANT APPEARS IN CUSTODY WITH HIS ATTORNEYS DAN KING, TAMARA BRADY, REBEKKA HIGGS, KATHERINE SPENGLER, AND KRISTEN NELSON. THE PEOPLE ARE REPRESENTED BY GEORGE BRAUCHLER, KAREN PEARSON, RICH ORMAN, AND JACOB EDSON.

MORNING SESSION:

THE COURT GIVES COPIES OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR EMAILS 80 THROUGH 82 TO THE PARTIES. THE COURT ALSO INFORMS THE PARTIES THAT IT RECEIVED A FAX AND A LETTER FROM PROSPECTIVE JURORS, WHICH ARE LABELED AS PROSPECTIVE JUROR COMMUNICATION 83 AND 84, RESPECTIVELY. BASED ON EMAILS RECEIVED, THE PARTIES STIPULATE TO RELEASE PROSPECTIVE JURORS 1959, 5269, AND 1303. THE COURT INFORMS THE PARTIES THAT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE WILL CONTINUE UNTIL APRIL 10, 2015 WITH ANTICIPATION THAT APPROXIMATELY 137 PROSPECTIVE JURORS WILL BE QUALIFIED FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE BY THAT DATE. GROUP VOIR DIRE WILL TAKE PLACE ON APRIL 13 AND 14. THE COURT WILL THEN TAKE A BREAK FOR ONE WEEK TO GIVE JURORS TIME TO GET THEIR AFFAIRS IN ORDER, AND OPENING STATEMENTS WILL BEGIN ON APRIL 21, 2015 AT NOON.

IF PROSPECTIVE JUROR 486 IS SELECTED TO SIT AS A JUROR, OPENING STATEMENTS WILL TAKE PLACE ON APRIL 27 AT NOON TO ACCOMMODATE HER WEDDING SCHEDULE. THE PEOPLE MAKE A RECORD THAT THERE ARE A NUMBER OF VICTIMS WHO WANT TO BE PRESENT FOR BOTH GROUP VOIR DIRE AND FOR OPENING STATEMENTS. SOME OF THESE VICTIMS WILL BE COMING FROM OUT OF STATE AND THE PEOPLE WOULD PREFER NOT TO TAKE A BREAK BETWEEN GENERAL VOIR DIRE AND OPENING STATEMENTS, AND INSTEAD TO TAKE A BREAK BETWEEN INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE AND GROUP VOIR DIRE. THE DEFENSE HAS NO POSITION ON THE REQUEST BUT MAKES A RECORD THAT THEY WOULD PREFER TO HAVE TWO WEEKS OFF, INSTEAD OF ONE. THE COURT ASKS DEFENSE COUNSEL WHETHER THEIR CHANGE OF VENUE MOTION WILL INCLUDE SPECIFIC REFERENCES TO THE QUESTIONNAIRES. IF THAT IS THE CASE, IT MIGHT BE MORE APPROPRIATE FOR THE DEFENSE TO FILE A WRITTEN MOTION INSTEAD OF ORAL ARGUMENT. THE DEFENSE IS UNSURE AT THIS TIME AND WILL RESPOND NEXT WEEK. THE PEOPLE INFORM THE COURT THAT THEY WILL FILE A MOTION LATER TODAY TO LIMIT PUBLIC DISPLAY OF CERTAIN GRAPHIC IMAGES DURING THE TRIAL. THE COURT GRANTS THE PEOPLES REQUEST TO SEND AN UNREDACTED COPY OF THEIR MOTION TO THE ATTORNEY REPRESENTING THE MEDIA, MR. ZANSBERG. THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE GROUP OF SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO APPEAR THIS MORNING. THE COURT AND THE PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE WITH THE SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS. BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, PROSPECTIVE JURORS 538, 544, AND 548 ARE EXCUSED FROM JURY SERVICE IN THIS CASE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, PROSPECTIVE JURORS 531, 535, AND 540 ARE ASKED TO RETURN FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE ON APRIL 13, 2015.

AFTERNOON SESSION:

THE DEFENDANT AND THE SAME ATTORNEYS ARE PRESENT. THE COURT INFORMS THE PARTIES THAT IT WILL STOP INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE EARLY IF 137 PROSPECTIVE JURORS ARE QUALIFIED FOR GROUP VOIR BEFORE APRIL 10. IN THAT EVENT, THE GROUP VOIR DIRE AND OPENING STATEMENTS DATES WILL REMAIN THE SAME. THAT WOULD GIVE THE DEFENSE ADDITIONAL TIME OFF. THEREFORE, THE REQUEST FOR 2 WEEKS OFF, INSTEAD OF 1 WEEK OF, IS DENIED. THE COURT NOTES THAT THE DEFENSE ASKED FOR 1 OR 2 WEEKS OFF IN MOTION D-275A. AS SUCH, THE COURT DEEMS ONE WEEK TO BE A GRANT OF THE DEFENDANTS REQUEST. IN TERMS OF THE REQUEST BY THE PROSECUTION TO TAKE ONE WEEK OFF BETWEEN INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE AND GROUP VOIR DIRE, INSTEAD OF BETWEEN GROUP VOIR DIRE AND OPENING STATEMENTS, THE COURT DECLINES TO CHANGE THE SCHEDULE IT ANNOUNCED THIS MORNING. THE COURT IS SENSITIVE TO THE WISHES OF THE VICTIMS. BUT THE COURT BELIEVES IT IS UNFAIR NOT TO GIVE THE 24 JURORS SELECTED A LITTLE TIME TO GET THEIR AFFAIRS IN ORDER BEFORE THEY EMBARK UPON A 4-MONTH OR 5-MONTH TRIAL. THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE GROUP OF SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO APPEAR THIS AFTERNOON. THE COURT AND THE PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE WITH THE SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS. WITHOUT OBJECTION, PROSPECTIVE JURORS 549 AND 557 ARE QUALIFIED FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 367, 555, 558, AND 571 ARE EXCUSED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES. /JRZ

End of Case: 2012 CR 201522