

02/11/2015 Minute Order (print)

Event ID: 000632 E-Filed: N

JURY TRIAL - DAY 16

JUDGE SAMOUR

REPORTERS: MARTIN AM AND TROYANEK PM

DEFENDANT APPEARS IN CUSTODY WITH HIS ATTORNEYS DAN KING, TAMARA BRADY, REBEKKA HIGGS, KATHERINE SPENGLER, AND KRISTEN NELSON. THE PEOPLE ARE REPRESENTED BY GEORGE BRAUCHLER, KAREN PEARSON, RICH ORMAN, JACOB EDSON, AND LISA TEESCH-MAGUIRE.

MORNING SESSION:

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 6782 WAS EXCUSED IN ORDER P-113, BUT REPORTED ON MONDAY AFTERNOON AND COMPLETED A QUESTIONNAIRE. THE COURT REVIEWS THE QUESTIONS SUBMITTED ON YELLOW FORMS BY THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS FROM THE LAST INTRODUCTORY SESSION ON 2/9/15. IN ADDITION, THE COURT REVIEWS E-MAILS NUMBERED 25 THROUGH 28 RECEIVED FROM PROSPECTIVE JURORS. BASED ON E-MAIL #18 AND ITS RESPONSE, THE PARTIES STIPULATE TO RELEASE PROSPECTIVE JUROR 2103. THE PARTIES GIVE THE COURT PROPOSED RESPONSES TO PROSPECTIVE JUROR E-MAIL #22, 23, AND 24. PROSPECTIVE JUROR EMAIL #21 WAS PREVIOUSLY ANSWERED BY THE COURT. THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR WHO SUBMITTED PROSPECTIVE JUROR EMAIL #20 IS SCHEDULED TO APPEAR THIS AFTERNOON, SO NO RESPONSE IS NEEDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION FROM THE DEFENSE, THE COURT GRANTS THE PEOPLES REQUEST TO ASK QUESTIONS BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THE FOUR AREAS COVERED IN INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR 263 REGARDING CRIMINAL HISTORY. THE DEFENSE REQUESTS THAT WHEN THE PEOPLE RUN BACKGROUND CHECKS THEY DISCOVER THAT INFORMATION TO THE DEFENSE. THE PEOPLE OBJECT TO THE DEFENSES REQUEST. THE COURT ASKS THE PARTIES TO PROVIDE AUTHORITY ON THE ISSUE AND DEFERS A RULING. THE PARTIES STIPULATE TO RELEASING THE

FOLLOWING PROSPECTIVE JURORS: 1841, 3979, 6008, 6009, 6020, 6023, 6026, 6027, 6031, 6032, 6035, 6036, 6038, 6053, 6059, 6061, 6065, 6068, 6071, 6073, 6089, 6097, 6103, 6104, 6117, 6118, 6119, 6121, 6127, 6136, 6148, 6152, 6164, 6173, 6175, 6179, 6185, 6194, 6198, 6200, 6204, 6205, 6215, 6219, 6226, 6230, 6241, 6242, 6243, 6246, 6247, 6263, 6284, 6299, 6256, 6280, 6290, 6298, 6301, 6318, 6322, 6323, 6325, 6329, 6334, 6335, 6336, 6340, 6342, 6343, 6351, 6354, 6357, 6359, 6364, 6375, 6394, 6395, 6402, 6406, 6417, 6425, 6426, 6429, 6432, 6435, 6438, 6439, 6441, 6444, 6448, 6454, 6456, 6458, 6460, 6466, 6469, 6476, 6477, 6479, 6487, 6489, 6493, 6501, 6515, 6518, 6519, 6522, 6526, 6528, 6531, 6536, 6538, 6539, 6540, 6544, 6555, 6562, 6563, 6566, 6568, 6571, 6573, 6578, 6582, 6586, 6595, 6596, 6602, 6603, 6608, 6614, 6616, 6621, 6623, 6629, 6633, 6635, 6639, 6640, 6641, 6645, 6649, 6650, 6655, 6657, 6662, 6671, 6677, 6681, 6683, 6687, 6688, 6689, 6692, 6693, 6696, 6705, 6706, 6710, 6716, 6717, 6735, 6738, 6741, 6747, 6748. THE DEFENSE HAS A POSTER BOARD DISPLAYING THE SENTENCING PROCESS. THE PEOPLE OBJECT TO THE WORD "ONLY" IN STEP 4. THE PEOPLE ALSO OBJECT BECAUSE THE WORD REASONED IS MISSING FROM STEP 4. THE COURT SUSTAINS BOTH OBJECTIONS. THE DEFENSE WILL MAKE THE CORRECTIONS ON THE CHART BEFORE USING IT DURING VOIR DIRE. THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS SCHEDULED THIS MORNING. THE PEOPLE GIVE THE COURT AUTHORITY ON THE ISSUE OF GIVING THE DEFENSE ANY CRIMINAL HISTORIES THE PEOPLE OBTAIN FOR PROSPECTIVE JURORS. THE COURT AND THE PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE OF THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 6 IS EXCUSED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 4, 17, AND 25 ARE ASKED TO RETURN FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE. THE COURT DENIES THE DEFENSES CHALLENGE FOR CAUSE WITH RESPECT TO PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25.

AFTERNOON SESSION:

THE COURT AND PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE OF THE TWO REMAINING PROSPECTIVE JURORS FROM THE MORNING SESSION. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 50 IS EXCUSED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 45 IS ASKED TO RETURN FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE. THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS SCHEDULED FOR THE AFTERNOON. THE COURT AND PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 53, 58, AND 62 ARE EXCUSED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 267, 258, AND 64 ARE ASKED TO RETURN FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE.

02/12/2015 Minute Order (No Print) Event ID: 000629 E-Filed: N  
COURTROOM 202 MONITORS THIS MORNING ARE MS. ODEYEMI AND MR. STEADMAN.  
THIS AFTERNOON'S MONITOR IS MS. PAGE. /CMR

02/12/2015 Request Filed Event ID: 000630 E-Filed: N  
REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPT RECEIVED FROM CHRIS TAYLOR, 720-874-8681,  
CTAYLOR@DA.18.STATE.CO.US, FOR 2-12-15 INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE SESSION,  
MORNING SESSION. /JEM

02/12/2015 Minute Order (print) Event ID: 000634 E-Filed: N  
JURY TRIAL - DAY 17  
FEBRUARY 12, 2015  
JUDGE SAMOUR REPORTERS: TROYANEK MORNING, AMATO AFTERNOON, AND  
MARTIN EVENING  
DEFENDANT APPEARS IN CUSTODY WITH HIS ATTORNEYS DAN KING, TAMARA BRADY,  
REBEKKA HIGGS, KATHERINE SPENGLER, AND KRISTEN NELSON. THE PEOPLE ARE  
REPRESENTED BY GEORGE BRAUHLER, KAREN PEARSON, RICH ORMAN, JACOB EDSON,  
AND LISA TEESCH-MAGUIRE.

MORNING SESSION:

THE COURT INFORMS THE PARTIES THAT PROSPECTIVE JUROR 71 CALLED TO LET US KNOW HE IS NOT AVAILABLE FOR INDIVIDUAL QUESTIONING THIS MORNING BECAUSE HE IS ON A PLANE TO IOWA. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 71 WILL BE RESCHEDULED. THE DEFENSE INFORMS THE COURT THAT THE CHART THE DEFENSE IS REQUESTING TO USE WITH PROSPECTIVE JURORS FOR INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE HAS BEEN CHANGED SO IT IS CONSISTENT WITH THE COURT'S COMMENTS YESTERDAY. WITHOUT OBJECTION FROM THE PEOPLE, THE COURT GRANTS THE DEFENSE'S REQUEST TO USE THE CHART DURING INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE. THE COURT HEARS ADDITIONAL ARGUMENT FROM THE PARTIES RELATED TO THE ISSUE OF WHETHER THE PEOPLE ARE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE THE DEFENSE WITH ANY CRIMINAL HISTORIES THE PEOPLE OBTAIN FOR PROSPECTIVE JURORS AS PART OF THE PEOPLE'S PREPARATIONS FOR VOIR DIRE. THE COURT CONTINUES TO TAKE THE MATTER UNDER ADVISEMENT. THE DEFENSE MAKES SEVERAL OBJECTIONS TO THE COURT'S VOIR DIRE OF THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS YESTERDAY PRIOR TO INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE. THE PEOPLE ARGUE THAT THERE IS NOTHING WRONG WITH THE WAY THE COURT IS DOING VOIR DIRE. THE COURT DISAGREES WITH MOST OF THE OBJECTIONS MADE BY THE DEFENSE; HOWEVER, THE COURT WILL MAKE AN ADJUSTMENT TO ONE OF THE HYPOTHETICALS USED IN ITS COMMENTS (DEALING WITH AGE AS A MITIGATING FACTOR). THE COURT MAKES ITS INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS TO THE FIVE PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO APPEAR THIS MORNING. THE COURT AND THE PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE ON THE FIVE PROSPECTIVE JURORS. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 66 IS ASKED TO RETURN FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 67, 80, 84, AND 85 ARE EXCUSED FROM JURY SERVICE IN THIS CASE.

AFTERNOON SESSION:

THE COURT REVIEWS EMAILS AND RESPONSES TO EMAILS RECEIVED FROM PROSPECTIVE JURORS. THE PARTIES PROVIDE THE COURT WITH PROPOSED RESPONSES TO EMAILS FROM OUTSTANDING PROSPECTIVE JURORS. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 794 AND 4836, WHO AUTHORED PROSPECTIVE JUROR EMAILS # 25 AND 26 RESPECTIVELY, ARE RELEASED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES. THE COURT MAKES INTRODUCTORY REMARKS TO THE SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS SCHEDULED FOR THE AFTERNOON SESSION. THE COURT AND PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 261 AND 93 ARE EXCUSED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 86, 87, 263, AND 264 ARE ASKED TO RETURN FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE. /CMR

02/13/2015 Minute Order (print)  
JURY TRIAL - DAY 18

Event ID: 000631 E-Filed: N

JUDGE SAMOUR

REPORTER: K. CARLIN

DEFENDANT APPEARS IN CUSTODY WITH HIS ATTORNEYS DAN KING, TAMARA BRADY, REBEKKA HIGGS, KATHERINE SPENGLER, AND KRISTEN NELSON. THE PEOPLE ARE REPRESENTED BY GEORGE BRAUHLER, KAREN PEARSON, RICH ORMAN, JACOB EDSON, AND LISA TEESCH-MAGUIRE.

MORNING SESSION:

THE COURT INFORMS THE PARTIES THAT TWO OF THE SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS SCHEDULED FOR THIS MORNING HAVE FAILED TO APPEAR: PROSPECTIVE JURORS 98 AND 105. THE COURT WILL ATTEMPT TO RESCHEDULE THOSE TWO PROSPECTIVE JURORS, AS WELL AS THE PROSPECTIVE JUROR WHO FAILED TO APPEAR YESTERDAY. THE FOLLOWING PROSPECTIVE JURORS ARE RELEASED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES: 6753, 6755, 6757, 6764, 6771, 6772, 6773, 6775, 6777, 6778, 6780, 6783, 6785, 6787, 6788, 6790, 6793, 6795, 6798, 6803, 6804, 6805, 6808, 6810, 6815, 6816, 6818, 6825, 6831, 6832, 6834, 6837, 6838, 6839, 6841, 6843, 6844, 6845, 6846, 6849, 6852, 6859, 6861, 6863, 6869, 6870, 6872, 6873, 6884, 6891, 6893, 6894, 6897, 6898, 6899, 6901, 6909, 6914, 6922, 6924, 6929, 6940, 6945, 6946, 6947, 6948, 6950, 6952, 6959, 6965, 6981, 6989, 6992, 6996, 6997. THE PARTIES MAY HAVE MORE STIPULATIONS AT A LATER TIME. THE COURT REVIEWS EMAILS RECEIVED FROM PROSPECTIVE JURORS. THE PARTIES STIPULATE TO EXCUSE PROSPECTIVE JUROR 608 BASED ON PROSPECTIVE JUROR EMAIL #24. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 6204, WHO SENT PROSPECTIVE JUROR EMIL #29, HAD ALREADY BEEN RELEASED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES. THE PARTIES HAVE AGREED ON A RESPONSE TO PROSPECTIVE JUROR EMAIL # 30 FROM PROSPECTIVE JUROR #319. THE DEFENSE SUPPLEMENTS THE RECORD IT MADE YESTERDAY REGARDING ITS STANDING OBJECTION TO THE PEOPLE ASKING PROSPECTIVE JURORS DURING INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE IF THEY ARE CAPABLE OF IMPOSING THE DEATH PENALTY IN THIS CASE AND SIMULTANEOUSLY POINTING TO THE DEFENDANT. THE DEFENSE BELIEVES THE QUESTION IS A MISSTATEMENT OF THE LAW BECAUSE THE LAW ONLY REQUIRES THAT JURORS FAIRLY CONSIDER BOTH DEATH AND LIFE SENTENCES. THE COURT CONTINUES TO DISAGREE THAT THE QUESTIONS ARE INAPPROPRIATE STAKE-OUT QUESTIONS. FURTHER, THE COURT NOTES THAT THE DEFENSE IS ASKING THE SAME TYPES OF QUESTIONS WITH RESPECT TO A LIFE SENTENCE. THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE FOUR PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO APPEAR THIS MORNING. THE COURT AND THE PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE ON THE FOUR PROSPECTIVE JURORS. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 116 IS ASKED TO RETURN FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 99, 100, AND 101 ARE EXCUSED FROM JURY SERVICE IN THIS CASE.

AFTERNOON SESSION:

THE PARTIES AND THE SAME ATTORNEYS ARE PRESENT. MR. ORMAN IS NO LONGER PRESENT FOR THE PEOPLE. THE COURT INFORMS THE PARTIES THAT PROSPECTIVE JURORS 125 HAS FAILED TO APPEAR THIS AFTERNOON. THE COURT WILL ATTEMPT TO RESCHEDULE THIS PROSPECTIVE JUROR. THE COURT REVIEWS EMAILS AND RESPONSES TO EMAILS RECEIVED FROM PROSPECTIVE JURORS. THE PARTIES NOTIFY THE COURT THAT THEY HAVE NINE ADDITIONAL STIPULATIONS: 6853, 6886, 6901, 6909, 6914, 6940, 6945, 6947, AND 6948. (THE COURT LATER REALIZES THAT SEVEN OF THESE PROSPECTIVE JURORS WERE PREVIOUSLY EXCUSED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES; THE COURT WILL MAKE A RECORD OF THIS AT A LATER TIME). THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE FIVE PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO ARE HERE THIS AFTERNOON. THE COURT AND THE PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 131 IS EXCUSED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 97, 118, AND 134 ARE ASKED TO RETURN FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE. OVER THE OBJECTION OF THE PEOPLE, THE COURT GRANTS THE DEFENDANTS CHALLENGE TO PROSPECTIVE JUROR 128. THE COURT INDICATES THAT SINCE THE DEFENSE CONTINUES TO OBJECT TO STAKE-OUT QUESTIONS, THE DEFENSE SHOULD PLAN TO MAKE THOSE OBJECTIONS AS NEEDED GOING FORWARD. THEREFORE, THERE WILL NO LONGER BE A STANDING OBJECTION TO THOSE QUESTIONS. THE DEFENSE AGREES THAT THIS IS THE APPROPRIATE WAY TO PROCEED BECAUSE THE PROSECUTION KEEPS ASKING SUCH QUESTIONS WITH SUBTLE CHANGES. /CMR

02/13/2015 Minute Order (No Print) Event ID: 000635 E-Filed: N  
COURTROOM 202 MONITOR THIS MORNING WAS MR. HARRIS; THIS AFTERNOON WAS MS.  
SCAGGS. MS. CLARK TOOK OVER AT FIVE O'CLOCK TODAY. /CMR

02/17/2015 Minute Order (print) Event ID: 000636 E-Filed: N  
JURY TRIAL - DAY 19  
FEBRUARY 17, 2015

JUDGE SAMOUR REPORTERS: TROYANEK MORNING; AMATO AFTERNOON  
DEFENDANT APPEARS IN CUSTODY WITH HIS ATTORNEYS DAN KING, TAMARA BRADY,  
REBEKKA HIGGS, KATHERINE SPENGLER, AND KRISTEN NELSON. THE PEOPLE ARE  
REPRESENTED BY GEORGE BRAUHLER, KAREN PEARSON, RICH ORMAN, JACOB EDSON,  
AND LISA TEESCH-MAGUIRE.

MORNING SESSION:

THE COURT INFORMS THE PARTIES THAT PROSPECTIVE JUROR 143 HAS FAILED TO APPEAR. COURT STAFF WILL ATTEMPT TO RESCHEDULE PROSPECTIVE JUROR 143. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 135 ARRIVED LATE AND DID NOT SEE THE VIDEO. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 135 IS BROUGHT INTO THE COURTROOM AND THE COURT QUESTIONS HER REGARDING HARDSHIP, SHE WILL RETURN FOR THE AFTERNOON SESSION. THE COURT REVIEWS NEW EMAILS RECEIVED FROM PROSPECTIVE JURORS AND GIVES COPIES TO THE PARTIES. COURT STAFF WILL RESPOND TO PROSPECTIVE JUROR EMAIL #38, RECEIVED FROM PROSPECTIVE JUROR 25, AND ASK HIM WHETHER HE IS ABLE TO SERVE ON THE JURY BASED ON HIS EMPLOYER'S POLICY. THE PARTIES STIPULATE TO EXCUSE PROSPECTIVE JUROR 909 BASED ON PROSPECTIVE JUROR EMAIL #21 AND AGREE TO EXCUSE PROSPECTIVE JUROR 1926 BASED ON PROSPECTIVE JUROR EMAIL #33. THE PARTIES HAVE AGREED ON A RESPONSE TO PROSPECTIVE JUROR EMAIL #32 RECEIVED FROM PROSPECTIVE JUROR 4001. THE COURT WILL RESPOND AS PROPOSED BY THE PARTIES. THE COURT INFORMS THE PARTIES THAT SEVEN OF THE NINE STIPULATED EXCUSALS THAT WERE GIVEN TO THE COURT LAST FRIDAY AFTERNOON WERE ALSO ON THE STIPULATED LIST GIVEN TO THE COURT THAT MORNING. THE DEFENSE SUPPLEMENTS ITS REQUEST FOR COPIES OF CRIMINAL HISTORIES FOR PROSPECTIVE JURORS THAT ARE OBTAINED BY THE PEOPLE.

THE DEFENSE IS CHARGED \$6.85 FOR EACH CRIMINAL HISTORY SEARCH, WHEREAS THERE IS NO COST TO THE PEOPLE. AS OF TODAY, THE PEOPLE STATE THAT THEY ARE ONLY OBTAINING CRIMINAL HISTORIES FOR PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO REPORT FOR INDIVIDUAL QUESTIONING. THE COURT CONTINUES TO TAKE THE MATTER UNDER ADVISEMENT. THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE GROUP OF FOUR PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO APPEAR THIS MORNING. THE COURT AND THE PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE ON THE FOUR PROSPECTIVE JURORS. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 144 AND 290 ARE ASKED TO RETURN FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE WITHOUT A CHALLENGE FROM EITHER PARTY. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 27 AND 139 ARE EXCUSED FROM JURY SERVICE IN THIS CASE BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES.

AFTERNOON SESSION:

THE PARTIES AND THE SAME ATTORNEYS ARE PRESENT. THE COURT INFORMS THE PARTIES THAT ALL SIX SCHEDULED PROSPECTIVE JURORS ARE PRESENT, ALONG WITH PROSPECTIVE JUROR 135, WHO WAS SCHEDULED FOR THE MORNING. THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE SEVEN PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO ARE HERE THIS AFTERNOON. THE COURT AND THE PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 135, 90, 151, 157, AND 149 ARE EXCUSED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES. THE COURT DENIES THE DEFENSE CHALLENGE TO PROSPECTIVE JUROR 148, AND THAT PROSPECTIVE JUROR IS ASKED TO RETURN FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 155 IS ASKED TO RETURN FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE WITHOUT A CHALLENGE FROM EITHER PARTY. /CMR

02/17/2015 Minute Order (No Print) Event ID: 000637 E-Filed: N  
COURTROOM 202 MONITOR THIS MORNING AND THIS AFTERNOON WAS MS. SOGI. MS.  
MCKAGER TOOK OVER AT FIVE O'CLOCK TODAY. /CMR

02/18/2015 Notice Filed Event ID: 000633 E-Filed: N  
NOTICE OF ERRATA SUPPRESSED ENVELOPE #181 FILED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERALS  
OFFICE STD 201 2 18 15 /LKO

02/18/2015 Minute Order (print) Event ID: 000639 E-Filed: N  
JURY TRIAL - DAY 20  
FEBRUARY 18, 2015  
JUDGE SAMOUR REPORTER FIKANY MORNING; TROYANEK AFTERNOON  
DEFENDANT APPEARS IN CUSTODY WITH HIS ATTORNEYS DAN KING, TAMARA BRADY,  
REBEKKA HIGGS, KATHERINE SPENGLER, AND KRISTEN NELSON. THE PEOPLE ARE  
REPRESENTED BY GEORGE BRAUHLER, KAREN PEARSON, RICH ORMAN, JACOB EDSON,  
AND LISA TEESCH-MAGUIRE.

MORNING SESSION:

THE COURT INFORMS THE PARTIES THAT PROSPECTIVE JUROR 158 HAS FAILED TO APPEAR. THE PARTIES STIPULATE TO EXCUSING PROSPECTIVE JUROR 158. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 167 ARRIVED LATE AND DID NOT SEE THE VIDEO THIS MORNING. SHE WILL RETURN FOR THE AFTERNOON SESSION. THE COURT REVIEWS PROSPECTIVE JUROR EMAILS NUMBERED 43 TO 51 AND GIVES COPIES TO THE PARTIES. THE COURT'S STAFF WILL SEND THE RESPONSES THE PARTIES HAVE AGREED UPON TO EMAILS FROM PROSPECTIVE JURORS. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 6083 AND 227 ARE EXCUSED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES BASED ON EMAILS THEY SENT TO THE JURY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE. THE COURT GRANTS THE DEFENSES REQUEST FOR COPIES OF ANY CRIMINAL HISTORIES OBTAINED BY THE PEOPLE ON PROSPECTIVE JURORS. THE COURT RULES THAT, TO THE EXTENT THE PROSECUTION IS ABLE TO OBTAIN CRIMINAL HISTORIES ON PROSPECTIVE JURORS THAT ARE NOT AVAILABLE TO THE DEFENSE AT NO COST, THE PROSECUTION MUST DISCOVER THOSE TO THE DEFENSE. THE DEFENSE WILL PAY THE PEOPLE FOR THE COSTS FOR THE COPIES AT WHATEVER RATE IT WOULD NORMALLY PAY FOR DISCOVERY.

THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE GROUP OF FOUR PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO APPEARED THIS MORNING.

THE COURT AND THE PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE ON PROSPECTIVE JUROR 113. WITHOUT OBJECTION, PROSPECTIVE JUROR 113 IS ASKED TO RETURN FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE. THE COURT CONDUCTS INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE ON PROSPECTIVE JURORS 49, 161, AND 164 AND THE PARTIES STIPULATE TO EXCUSE THOSE THREE PROSPECTIVE JURORS.

AFTERNOON SESSION:

THE PARTIES AND THE SAME ATTORNEYS ARE PRESENT. THE COURT INFORMS THE PARTIES THAT PROSPECTIVE JUROR 179 HAS FAILED TO APPEAR THIS AFTERNOON. THE COURT WILL ATTEMPT TO RESCHEDULE THIS PROSPECTIVE JUROR.

THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE GROUP OF FIVE PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO ARE HERE THIS AFTERNOON. THE COURT AND THE PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, PROSPECTIVE JUROR 167 IS ASKED TO RETURN FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 169 AND 176 ARE QUALIFIED FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE OVER THE DEFENSE'S OBJECTION. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 177 AND 295 ARE RELEASED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 172 IS TEMPORARILY RELEASED SO SHE CAN FOLLOW UP WITH HER EMPLOYER REGARDING COMPENSATION DURING JURY DUTY. THE COURT MAY RESCHEDULE THIS PROSPECTIVE JUROR FOR INDIVIDUAL QUESTIONING DEPENDING ON THE INFORMATION SHE OBTAINS FROM HER EMPLOYER. /CMR

02/18/2015 Minute Order (No Print) Event ID: 000640 E-Filed: N  
COURTROOM 202 MONITORS TODAY ARE LINDA REILLY IN THE MORNING AND TERRIE PETAU IN THE AFTERNOON AND EVENING. /CMR

02/19/2015 Order Event ID: 000638 E-Filed: N  
THE COURT ENTERS ORDER SUPPRESSING NOTICE OF ERRATA (C-186). COPIES SENT VIA EMAIL TO KAREN PEARSON, RICH ORMAN, JACOB EDSON, LISA TEESCH-MAGUIRE, GEORGE BRAUCHLER, SHERILYN KOSLOSKY, RHONDA CRANDALL, DANIEL KING, TAMARA BRADY, KRISTEN NELSON, CHRISTINA TAYLOR, AND TANYA WHEELER. /AG

02/19/2015 Minute Order (No Print) Event ID: 000641 E-Filed: N  
COURTROOM 202 MONITOR THIS MORNING WAS MS. BECWAR; THIS AFTERNOON WAS MS. BECWAR, MS. MCKAGER, MS. PETAU, AND MS. PICKARTS /CMR

02/19/2015 Minute Order (print) Event ID: 000642 E-Filed: N  
JURY TRIAL DAY 21  
FEBRUARY 19, 2015  
JUDGE SAMOUR REPORTERS: ELM SHAEUSER MORNING; AMATO AFTERNOON  
DEFENDANT APPEARS IN CUSTODY WITH HIS ATTORNEYS DAN KING, TAMARA BRADY, REBEKKA HIGGS, KATHERINE SPENGLER, AND KRISTEN NELSON. THE PEOPLE ARE REPRESENTED BY GEORGE BRAUCHLER, KAREN PEARSON, RICH ORMAN, JACOB EDSON, AND LISA TEESCH-MAGUIRE.

MORNING SESSION:

THE COURT INFORMS THE PARTIES THAT PROSPECTIVE JUROR 224 HAS NOT SHOWN UP THIS MORNING. COURT STAFF WILL ATTEMPT TO RESCHEDULE PROSPECTIVE JUROR 224. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 194 WAS LATE THIS MORNING AND WILL JOIN THE AFTERNOON GROUP OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS. THE COURT GIVES THE PARTIES COPIES OF PROSPECTIVE JUROR EMAILS 52 THROUGH 59. ALSO, THE COURT GIVES THE PARTIES COPIES OF EMAIL RESPONSES THAT WERE SENT TO PROSPECTIVE JURORS YESTERDAY. THE PARTIES PROVIDE THE COURT WITH STIPULATED PROPOSED RESPONSES TO PROSPECTIVE JUROR EMAIL 43 THROUGH 51. PROSPECTIVE JURORS

3568 AND 1319 ARE EXCUSED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES BASED ON EMAILS THEY SENT TO THE JURY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE.

THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE GROUP OF FOUR PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO APPEAR THIS MORNING. THE COURT AND THE PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE ON THE FOUR PROSPECTIVE JURORS. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 209 IS QUALIFIED FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE OVER THE DEFENSE'S CHALLENGE FOR CAUSE. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 222 IS QUALIFIED FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE OVER THE PEOPLE'S CHALLENGE FOR CAUSE. BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES PROSPECTIVE JURORS 298 AND 300 ARE EXCUSED FROM JURY SERVICE IN THIS CASE.

AFTERNOON SESSION:

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 299 IS NOT HERE; SHE PREVIOUSLY EMAILED THE JURY COMMISSIONER STATING THAT SHE WAS UNAVAILABLE. THE COURT WILL RESCHEDULE HER. PROSPECTIVE JUROR 194, WHO WAS LATE THIS MORNING, IS HERE THIS AFTERNOON. THE COURT CONDUCTS VOIR DIRE WITH THE SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO REPORTED THIS AFTERNOON. THE COURT AND PARTIES CONDUCT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE ON THE SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHO APPEAR FOR THIS AFTERNOON'S SESSION. PROSPECTIVE JURORS 230, 232, 254, AND 255 ARE EXCUSED BY STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES. THE PEOPLE'S STATUTORY CHALLENGE TO PROSPECTIVE JUROR 237 IS GRANTED BY THE COURT WITHOUT OBJECTION FROM THE DEFENSE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, PROSPECTIVE JUROR 194 IS ASKED TO RETURN FOR GROUP VOIR DIRE. THE COURT MAKES A RECORD REGARDING SCHEDULING FOR INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE GOING FORWARD. THE PEOPLE REQUEST THAT THE COURT LIMIT THE TIME PER PROSPECTIVE JUROR TO 10 MINUTES. THE DEFENSE REQUESTS THAT THE COURT KEEP THE CURRENT TIME LIMITATION BUT REDUCE THE NUMBER OF SCHEDULED PROSPECTIVE JURORS. THE COURT WILL SCHEDULE SIX PROSPECTIVE JURORS FOR THE MORNING AND FIVE PROSPECTIVE JURORS FOR THE AFTERNOON OVER THE NEXT TWO MONTHS. EACH PARTY WILL CONTINUE TO HAVE UP TO 20 MINUTES TO QUESTION EACH PROSPECTIVE JUROR.

End of Case: 2012 CR 201522