

The Motion is DENIED at this time. The prior competency evaluation of the Defendant was video recorded pursuant to the request of the People. The Defendant did not raise an objection to that procedure at the time. Defendant has since filed her D-19 motion challenging whether the competency evaluation should have been videotaped and whether it should be disclosed to the prosecution. The Court has ordered that the video remain sealed and not viewed by the People until resolution of the Defendant's D-19 motion. If the Court grants the Defendant's D-19 motion, the video will remain sealed and not be viewed by the People. On the other hand, if Dr. Grimmett were to view the video of the competency evaluation, that video would be subject to release to the People in the event competency became a contested issue or Dr. Grimmett were to testify on some matter. If the Defendant wishes to withdraw her D-19 motion and agree that the People may view the previously recorded competency video, she may notify the Court. Otherwise, the Court will not permit the video to be used for any purpose until the D-19 motion is resolved.
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