DISTRICT COURT, CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER, COLORADO	
Court Address: 520 West Colfax Ave Denver, Colorado 80204	
Plaintiff:	▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲
The People of the State of Colorado v.	Case Number: CR
Defendant:	Courtroom: 4G
JURY INSTRUCTIONS	

Submitted this Thursday, July 7, 2022.

BY THE COURT:

Eric M. Johnson Denver District Court Judge

Members of the jury, the evidence in this case has been completed. In a moment, I will read to you jury instructions that contain the rules of law you must apply to reach your verdict. You will have copies of what I read to take with you to the jury room. But first, I want to mention a few things you need to keep in mind when you are discussing this case in the jury room.

Until you have returned a verdict, you must not do any research about this case or this kind of case using any source, including dictionaries, reference materials, the internet or any other electronic means. You must not communicate in any way with anyone else about this case or this kind of case until you have returned a verdict in court. This includes your family and friends. If you have a cell phone or other electronic device, you must keep it turned off during jury deliberations.

It is my job to decide what rules of law apply to the case. While the attorneys may comment on some of these rules, you must follow the instructions I give you. Even if you disagree with or do not understand the reasons for some of the rules of law, you must follow them. No single instruction describes all the law which must be applied; the instructions must be considered together as a whole.

During the trial, you received all of the evidence that you may properly consider in deciding the case. Your decision must be made by applying the rules of law that I give you to the evidence presented at trial. Remember, you must not be influenced by sympathy, bias or prejudice in reaching your decision. You should not allow bias or any kind of prejudice based upon gender to influence your decision.

If you decide that the prosecution has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant is guilty, it will be my job to decide what the punishment will be. In making your decision, you must not consider punishment at all. At times during the trial, attorneys made objections. Do not draw any conclusions from the objections or from my rulings on the objections. These only related to legal questions I had to decide and should not influence your thinking. If I told you not to consider a particular statement that was made during the trial, you must not consider it in your deliberations.

If I have asked questions of witnesses during the trial, that did not mean I had any opinion about the facts in the case.

Finally, you should consider all the evidence in light of your experience in life.

The charges against the Defendant are not evidence. The charges against him/her are just accusations / The charge against him/her is just an accusation. The fact that the Defendant has been accused is not evidence that he/she committed any crime.

The Defendant is charged with committing the crime/s of insert crime, in Denver County, Colorado, on or about . The Defendant has pleaded not guilty.

Every person charged with a crime is presumed innocent. This presumption of innocence remains with the Defendant throughout the trial and should be given effect by you unless, after considering all of the evidence, you are then convinced that the Defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

The burden of proof is upon the prosecution to prove to the satisfaction of the jury beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of all of the elements necessary to constitute the crime charged.

Reasonable doubt means a doubt based upon reason and common sense which arises from a fair and rational consideration of all of the evidence, or the lack of evidence, in the case. It is a doubt which is not a vague, speculative or imaginary doubt, but such a doubt as would cause reasonable people to hesitate to act in matters of importance to themselves.

If you find from the evidence that each and every element of a crime has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, you should find the Defendant guilty of that crime. If you find from the evidence that the prosecution has failed to prove any one or more of the elements of a crime beyond a reasonable doubt, you should find the Defendant not guilty of that crime.

A fact may be proven by either direct or circumstantial evidence. Under the law, both are acceptable ways to prove something. Neither is necessarily more reliable than the other.

Direct evidence is based on first-hand observation of the fact in question.

Circumstantial evidence is indirect. It is based on observations of related facts that may lead you to reach a conclusion about the fact in question.

The Court admitted certain evidence for a limited purpose.

You are again instructed that you cannot consider that evidence except for the limited purpose I told you about when it was admitted.

The parties have agreed as to the existence of certain facts. You may

regard those facts as proven.

[Specifically, the parties have stipulated to the following facts:]

The number of witnesses testifying for or against a certain fact does not, by itself, prove or disprove that fact.

You are the sole judges of the credibility of each witness and the weight to be given to the witness's testimony. You should carefully consider all of the testimony given and the circumstances under which each witness has testified.

For each witness, consider that person's knowledge, motive, state of mind, demeanor, and manner while testifying. Consider the witness's ability to observe, the strength of that person's memory, and how that person obtained his or her knowledge. Consider any relationship the witness may have to either side of the case, and how each witness might be affected by the verdict. Consider how the testimony of the witness is supported or contradicted by other evidence in the case. You should consider all facts and circumstances shown by the evidence when you evaluate each witness's testimony.

You may believe all of the testimony of a witness, part of it, or none of it.

The credibility of a witness may be challenged by showing that the witness has been convicted of a felony. A previous felony conviction is one factor you may consider in determining the credibility of a witness. It is up to you to determine what weight, if any, is to be given to such a conviction.

[The Defendant is to be tried for the crime charged in this case, and no other. You may consider testimony of a previous conviction only in determining the credibility of the Defendant as a witness, and for no other purpose. When the Defendant testifies, their credibility is to be determined in the same manner as any other witness.] The credibility of a witness may be discredited or supported by testimony about their reputation for truthfulness or by the opinion of another witness. It is entirely your decision to determine what weight shall be given such testimony.

You are not bound by the testimony of a witness who has testified as an expert; the credibility of an expert's testimony is to be considered as that of any other witness. You may believe all of an expert witness's testimony, part of it, or none of it. The weight you give the testimony is also entirely your decision. In assessing the testimony of an expert you should consider the witness's qualifications, the reasons for his/her opinions, and all of the other evidence in the case.

Every defendant has a constitutional right not to testify. The decision not to testify cannot be used as an inference of guilt and cannot prejudice the Defendant. It is not evidence, does not prove anything, and must not be considered for any purpose.

Defendant's Theory of the Case

In this case a separate offense is charged against the Defendant in each count of the information. Each count charges a separate and distinct offense and the evidence and the law applicable to each count should be considered separately, uninfluenced by your decision as to any other count. The fact that you may find the Defendant guilty or not guilty of one of the offenses charged, should not control your verdict as to any other offense charged against him/her.

The Defendant may be found guilty or not guilty of any one or all of the offenses charged.

During this trial you were permitted to submit written questions to witnesses. If a particular question was not asked, do not guess why the question was not asked or what the answer might have been. My decision not to ask a question submitted by a juror is not a reflection on the person asking it, and you should not attach any significance to the failure to ask a question. By making legal rulings on the admissibility of questions, I did not intend to suggest or express any opinion about the question. My decision whether or not to allow a question is based on the applicable rules of evidence and other rules of law, and not on the facts of this particular case. It is my responsibility to assure that all parties receive a fair trial according to the law and the rules of evidence.

The fact that certain questions were not asked must not affect your consideration of the evidence in any way. Do not give greater weight to questions, or answers to questions, that are submitted by yourself or your fellow jurors. In making your decision, you must consider all of the evidence that has been presented.

Elementals

A crime is committed when the Defendant has committed a voluntary act prohibited by law, together with a culpable state of mind. **"Voluntary act**" means an act performed consciously as a result of effort or determination.

Proof of the voluntary act alone is insufficient to prove that the Defendant had the required state of mind. The culpable state of mind is as much an element of the crime as the act itself and must be proven beyond a reasonable doubt, either by direct or circumstantial evidence. In this case, the applicable states of mind are explained below:

[The term "**after deliberation**" means not only intentionally, but also that the decision to commit the act has been made after the exercise of reflection and judgment concerning the act. An act committed after deliberation is never one which has been committed in a hasty or impulsive manner.]

[A person acts "**intentionally**" or "**with intent**" when their conscious objective is to cause the specific result prescribed by the statute defining the offense. It is immaterial whether or not the result actually occurred.]

[A person acts **"knowingly**" or **"willfully**" with respect to conduct or to a circumstance described by a statute defining an offense when they are aware that their conduct is of such nature or that such a circumstance exists. A person acts "knowingly" or "willfully", with respect to a result of their conduct, when they are aware that their conduct is practically certain to cause the result.]

[A person acts "**recklessly**" when they consciously disregard a substantial and unjustifiable risk that a result will occur or that a circumstance exists.] [A person acts "**with criminal negligence**" when, through a gross deviation from the standard of care that a reasonable person would exercise, they fail to perceive a substantial and unjustifiable risk that a result will occur or that a circumstance exists.]

In this case, certain words and phrases have particular meanings. Accordingly, you are to use the following definitions where these words and phrases appear in instructions that define crimes, defenses, special rules, and verdict questions.

[Insert all definitions, arranged alphabetically. Place the term defined in **bold**.]

Members of the jury, you may discuss this case only when you are all present and you may only deliberate in the jury room. No juror should attempt to discuss this case with other jurors or anyone else at any other time except when all jurors are in the jury room.

Once you begin your deliberations, if you have a question about the evidence in this case or about the instructions or verdict form that you have been given, your Foreperson should write the question on a piece of paper, sign it and give it to the Bailiff, who will bring it to me. The Court will then determine the appropriate way to answer the question.

However, there may be some questions that, under the law, the Court is not permitted to answer. Please do not speculate about what the answer to your question might have been or why the Court is not able to answer a particular question.

Finally, please be sure to keep the original question and response. Do not destroy them as they are part of the official record in this case, and must be returned to me when you return the instructions and verdict forms at the end of the case.

I will now read to you the verdict forms. You must not draw any inferences based on the order in which I read them. The verdict forms you will receive read as follows:

The Bailiff will now escort you to the jury room, where you will select one of your members to be your Foreperson. Your Foreperson will preside over your deliberations and shall sign any verdict form and mark any answer to a verdict question that you may agree on, according to the rules that I am about to explain.

The verdict for each charge must represent the considered judgment of each juror, and it must be unanimous. In other words, all of you must agree to all parts of it. This requirement also applies to any determinations that you make in response to verdict questions.

Only one verdict shall be returned signed for each count for the Defendant. The verdict forms and these instructions shall remain in the possession of your Foreperson until I ask for them in open court. Upon reaching your verdict [and, if required by your verdicts, answering any verdict questions,] you will inform the Bailiff, who in turn will notify me, and you will remain in the jury room until I call you into the courtroom.

You will be provided with verdict forms. When you have unanimously agreed upon your verdict you will select the option on each/the form which reflects your unanimous decision, and the Foreperson will sign each/the verdict form as I have stated.

Frequently Used Stock Instructions (Case-Specific)

A permissible inference allows, but does not require, you to find a fact from proof of another fact or facts, if that conclusion is justified by the evidence as a whole. It is entirely your decision to determine what weight shall be given the evidence.

You must bear in mind that the prosecution always has the burden of proving each element of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt, and that a permissible inference does not shift that burden to the Defendant. In order to convict the Defendant of , you must either unanimously agree that the Defendant committed the same act or acts, or that the Defendant committed all of the acts alleged.

If you are not satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant is guilty of the offense charged, they may, however, be found guilty of any lesser offense, the commission of which is necessarily included in the offense charged if the evidence is sufficient to establish their guilt of the lesser offense beyond a reasonable doubt.

The offense of , as charged in the information in this case necessarily includes the lesser offense[s] of , and .

[Using the appropriate elemental instruction for each lesser-included offense as a guide, insert a definition of each such offense here, leaving out the last two paragraphs of the inserted instruction (i.e., the paragraphs that begin with the words: "After considering all the evidence"). List the lesser-included offenses from highest to lowest degree if submitting more than one lesserincluded offense.]

You should bear in mind that the burden is always upon the prosecution to prove beyond a reasonable doubt each and every element of any lesserincluded offense which is necessarily included in any offense charged in the information; the law never imposes upon a defendant in a criminal case the burden of calling any witnesses or producing any evidence.

After considering all the evidence, if you decide that the prosecution has proven each of the elements of the crime charged or of a lesser-included offense, you should find the Defendant guilty of the offense proven, and you should so state in your verdict. After considering all the evidence, if you decide that the prosecution has failed to prove one or more elements of the crime charged and one or more elements of the lesser-included offenses, you should find the Defendant not guilty of these offenses, and you should so state in your verdict.

While you may find the defendant not guilty of the crimes charged 215 and the lesser-included offense[s], you may not find the defendant guilty of more than one of the following offenses:

[Insert the charged offense and all lesser-included offenses.]

The prosecution has presented a witness who claims to have been a participant with the Defendant in the crime charged. There is no evidence other than the testimony of this witness which tends to establish the participation of the Defendant in the crime.

While you may convict upon this testimony alone, you should act upon it with great caution. Give it careful examination in the light of other evidence in the case. You are not to convict upon this testimony alone, unless you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that it is true.

The crime of is a "strict liability" offense that is established by proof beyond a reasonable doubt of conduct which includes a voluntary act or the omission to perform an act which the person is physically capable of performing.

The elements of the crime of attempt to commit are:

- 1. That the Defendant,
- 2. in the State of Colorado, at or about the date and place charged,
- 3. [insert the culpable mental state for the offense attempted],
- engaged in conduct constituting a substantial step toward the commission of , as defined belonw, [and,]
- 5. [that the Defendant's conduct was not legally authorized by the affirmative defense[s] in Instruction[s] ____.]

The elements of the crime of are:

1. That the Defendant,...

A "substantial step" is any conduct, whether act, omission, or possession, which is strongly corroborative of the firmness of the actor's purpose to complete the commission of the offense.

After considering the evidence, if you decide the prosecution has proven each of the elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you should find the Defendant guilty of criminal attempt to commit

After considering the evidence, if you decide the prosecution has failed to prove any one or more of the elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you should find the Defendant not guilty of criminal attempt to commit .

A person who engages in conduct intending to aid another to commit an offense commits criminal attempt if their conduct would establish their complicity were the offense committed by the other person, even if the other person is not guilty of committing or attempting the offense.

Factual or legal impossibility is not a defense to criminal attempt if the underlying offense could have been committed if the facts were as the Defendant believed them to be.

It is no defense to the charge of criminal attempt that the crime attempted was actually completed by the Defendant.

The elements of the crime of conspiracy are:

1. That the Defendant,

,

- 2. in the State of Colorado, at or about the date and place charged,
- 3. with the intent to promote or facilitate the commission of the crime of
- [agreed with another person or persons that they, or one or more of them, would engage in conduct which constituted the crime of or an attempt to commit the crime of , and]
- 5. [agreed to aid another person or persons in the planning or commission of the crime of or an attempt to commit the crime of , and]
- 6. [the Defendant, or a co-conspirator, performed an overt act to pursue the conspiracy.
- [and that the Defendant's conduct was not legally authorized by the affirmative defense[s] in Instruction[s] ___.]

"Overt act" means any act knowingly committed by one of the conspirators, in an effort to accomplish some object or purpose of the conspiracy. The overt act need not be criminal in nature. It must, however, be an act that tends toward accomplishment of a plan or scheme, knowingly done in furtherance of some object or purpose of the charged conspiracy.

After considering all the evidence, if you decide the prosecution has proven each of the elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you should find the Defendant guilty of conspiracy.

After considering all the evidence, if you decide the prosecution has failed to prove any one or more of the elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you should find the Defendant not guilty of conspiracy.

If the Defendant knows that one with whom they conspire to commit a crime has conspired with another person or persons to commit the same crime, they are guilty with such other person or persons, whether or not they know their identity.

It is no defense to a charge of conspiracy that the Defendant or the person with whom they conspire did not occupy a particular position or have a particular characteristic which is an element of the crime, if the Defendant believes that one of them did.

It is no defense to a charge of conspiracy that the person with whom the Defendant conspires [is not legally responsible] [has immunity to prosecution or conviction] for the commission of the crime.