

DISTRICT COURT, CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER, COLORADO  Court Address: 1437 Bannock Street Denver, Colorado 80202	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲</b></p> <hr/> Case Number:  Ctrm: 259
Plaintiff(s):  v.  Defendant(s):	
<b>ORDER RE: DISCOVERY PROTOCOL</b>	

The following discovery protocols shall guide all counsel in their conduct of written and oral discovery in this case.<sup>1</sup>

**PREAMBLE**

Counsel are reminded that all discovery responses shall be made in the spirit and with the understanding that the purpose of discovery is to elicit facts and to get to the truth. The Rules of Civil Procedure are directed toward securing a just, speedy and inexpensive determination of every action. The discovery process shall not be employed to hinder or obstruct these goals nor to harass, unduly delay or needlessly increase the cost of litigation.

These discovery protocols shall be considered as part of the responsibility of parties and counsel to comply with the Rules of Civil Procedure relating to discovery.

**Should the Parties have a discovery dispute, parties shall submit a joint notice of discovery impasse, not longer than 3 pages, apprising the Court of the nature of the dispute. The Court will review all notices and proceed according to its judgment.**

**WRITTEN DISCOVERY**

1. The parties should refrain from interposing repeated boilerplate type objections such as “overbroad, unduly burdensome, vague, ambiguous, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence” and other similar objections. In the event any such objections are made, they shall be followed by a clear and precise explanation of the legal and factual justification for raising such an objection. Additionally, if the objecting party otherwise responds to the discovery request but does so subject to or without waiving such an objection, that party shall describe with reasonable specificity the information

---

<sup>1</sup> Regarding electronic document production, this Court applies *The Sedona Principles for Electronic Document Production* and advises counsel to be familiar with the practices and procedures it sets forth. See [http://sos.mt.gov/Records/committees/erim\\_resources/A%20-%20Sedona%20Principles%20Second%20Edition.pdf](http://sos.mt.gov/Records/committees/erim_resources/A%20-%20Sedona%20Principles%20Second%20Edition.pdf) at p ii.

which may be available but which is not being provided as a result of the objection raised.

2. When a responding party claims not to understand either a discovery request or the meaning of any words or terms used in a discovery request, that party shall, within fourteen (14) days of receiving the discovery request, seek clarification of the meaning from counsel who served the discovery. A failure to seek such clarification shall be considered a violation of this Order for Discovery Protocol.
3. A discovery response which does not provide the information or material requested but promises to do so at some point in the future will be treated as the equivalent of no response unless the party so responding provides a specific reason for the information not being produced as required by the Rules of Civil Procedure, and also provides a specific date by which such information will be produced.
4. A response to a discovery request that does not provide the information or material requested but rather states that the party is continuing to look for or search for such information or material will be treated as the same as no response unless that party provides a clear description of where such information or material is normally located, who is normally in custody of such information or material, where the party has searched, the results of the search, as well as the identity of all persons who have engaged in such a search. The responding party shall also provide a clear explanation of the ongoing search and a specific date by which the search will be complete.
5. Whenever a party objects to discovery based upon a claim of attorney/client privilege, work product protection or any other privilege or protection, that party shall produce a detailed privilege/protection log that includes at least the following for each such item for which privilege is claimed:
  - a. The information required by C.R.C.P. 26(b)(5);
  - b. The date of the information or material;
  - c. All authors and recipients; and
  - d. The specific privilege or protection which is claimed.
  - e. The proponent of the privilege has the burden of establishing that privilege. Failure to comply with this paragraph 5 and Order for Discovery Protocol will constitute a waiver of the claimed privilege.


### **DEPOSITIONS**

1. Depositions shall be conducted in compliance with the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. During all depositions, counsel shall adhere strictly to C.R.C.P. 30(d)(1) and (3). No objections may be made, except those which would be waived if not made under C.R.C.P. 32(d)(3)(B) (errors, irregularities), and those necessary to assert a privilege, to enforce a limitation on evidence directed by the Court, or to present a C.R.C.P. 30(d)(3) motion (to terminate a bad faith deposition). Objections to form shall be stated: "Objection as to form." Any further explanation is inappropriate and prohibited unless specifically requested by the attorney asking the question.
3. There shall be no speaking objections. It is inappropriate and prohibited for an attorney, during the course of questioning, to advise a witness to answer "if you know," or "if you remember." It is similarly prohibited for an attorney during questioning to advise a witness not to speculate. All such questions shall be considered speaking objections. All deponent preparation shall be conducted prior to the commencement of the deposition and shall not take place during the course of the deposition.
4. It is appropriate for the deponent to request clarification of a question. However, it is not appropriate for counsel to do so.
5. A deponent and an attorney may not confer during the deposition while questions are pending. Similarly, neither a deponent nor counsel for a deponent may interrupt a deposition when a question is pending or a document is being reviewed, except as permitted by C.R.C.P. 30(d)(1).
6. Counsel shall refrain from excessive objections that have the purpose or effect of disrupting the flow of questioning or the elicitation of testimony.
7. Counsel may instruct the deponent not to answer only when necessary to preserve a privilege, to enforce a limitation on evidence directed by the Court, or to present a motion under paragraph 3 of C.R.C.P. 30(d). Whenever counsel instructs a witness not to answer a question, counsel shall state on the record the specific reason for such an instruction, the specific question, part of a question or manner of asking the question upon which counsel is basing the instruction not to answer the question.

Dated:

BY THE COURT:



---

MICHAEL A. MARTINEZ  
Chief Judge