

DISTRICT COURT

**CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER,
COLORADO**

1437 Bannock Street, Room 256
Denver, CO 80202
Phone: (303) 606-2300

**NORMA ANDERSON, MICHELLE PRIOLA,
CLAUDINE CMARADA, KRISTA KAUFER,
KATHI WRIGHT, and CHRISTOPHER
CASTILIAN,**
Petitioners,

v.

JENA GRISWOLD, in her official capacity as
Colorado Secretary of State, and **DONALD J. TRUMP**
Respondents.

and

**COLORADO REPUBLICAN STATE CENTRAL
COMMITTEE**, an unincorporated association, and
DONALD J. TRUMP,
Intervenors.

▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲

Attorneys for Respondent and Intervenor Donald J. Trump:
Scott E. Gessler (28944), sgessler@gesslerblue.com
Geoffrey N. Blue (32684), gblue@gesslerblue.com
Gessler Blue LLC
7350 E. Progress Place, Suite 100
Greenwood Village, CO 80111
Tel. (720) 839-6637 or (303) 906-1050

Case Number:
2023CV32577

Division:

**INTERVENOR TRUMP'S OBJECTIONS TO SPECIFIC FINDINGS
CONTAINED IN JANUARY 6TH REPORT (EX. NO. 78)**

At the status conference with the Court on October 26, 2023, the Court ordered President Trump to file remaining objections to findings of the January 6th Report. Petitioners have identified 411 findings, of which this Court has ruled on ten. (Nos. 50, 54, 75, 88, 103, 111, 176, 196, 229 and 254).

Objections to Specific Findings

As an aid, President Trump has reproduced the finding number contained in Exhibit 78, with his objections. Generally, the “findings” of the January 6th Report are no more than one-sided, partisan opinions. The fact that two Republicans served on the Commission does not indicate, by any stretch, that those two Republicans in fact represented the Republican caucus or provided any diversity of thought. Self-identification as a “Republican” itself means nothing. This case provides a good example – several Petitioners are “Republicans” yet they vehemently oppose allowing any Republican at all to have an opportunity to vote for the leading Republican candidate. This Court has correctly excluded certain of the evidence the January 6th Report relied on, including news releases, articles, transcribed testimony, and videos. But importantly, the entire January 6, 2021, Report is poison. It is, first and foremost, a political document. This Court should not import a set of political “findings” into what should be the dispassionate review of evidence, subject to the reliability safeguards contained in the Colorado Rules of Evidence.

The following chart lists President Trump’s objections to the Petitioners remaining findings:

No	Objection
1	This finding is speculation and opinion, not fact. Second, it is hearsay. Third, it contains hearsay within hearsay because the statement incorporates sources of information that seek to prove the truth of the matter asserted. Fourth, it is not relevant under Rule 402 because it does not tend to prove that President Trump engaged in an insurrection on January 6, 2021. Fifth, it is seemingly offered as character evidence against President Trump, in violation of C.R.E. 404(a). Sixth, it contains improper legal conclusions made outside of this Court’s setting and improper speculation.
2	See objection to Finding 1.
3	See objection to Finding 1.
4	See objection to Finding 1.
5	See objection to Finding 1.
6	See objection to Finding 1.
7	See objection to Finding 1.
8	See objection to Finding 1.
9	See objection to Finding 1.
10	Although the tweet itself is admissible, the remainder is inadmissible for the reasons stated in the objection to Finding 1.
11	See objection to Finding 1.
12	See objection to Finding 1.
13	See objection to Finding 1.
14	See objection to Finding 1.
15	Although the tweet itself is admissible, the remainder is inadmissible for the reasons stated in the objection to Finding 1. This finding is also inadmissible as a statement of a co-conspirator because Petitioners have not alleged a conspiracy to engage in insurrection. It also does not show what agency, group, or source gathered or submitted the “intelligence” in question.
16	Although the tweet itself is admissible, the remainder is inadmissible for the reasons stated in the objection to Finding 1. This finding is also inadmissible as a statement of a co-conspirator because Petitioners have not alleged a conspiracy to engage in insurrection.
17	See objection to Finding 1.
18	See objection to Finding 1. This Finding is also needlessly cumulative under C.R.E 403, as it contains the same information noted in Finding 9.
19	Although the tweet itself is admissible, the remainder is inadmissible for the reasons stated in the objection to Finding 1. This Finding is also needlessly cumulative under C.R.E 403, as it contains the same information noted in Finding 10.
20	See objection to Finding 1. This Finding is also needlessly cumulative under C.R.E 403, as it contains the same information noted in Finding 11.

No	Objection
21	Although the tweet itself is admissible, the remainder is inadmissible for the reasons stated in the objection to Finding 1. This Finding is also needlessly cumulative under C.R.E 403, as it contains the same information noted in Finding 15. It is also inadmissible as a statement of a co-conspirator because Petitioners have not alleged a conspiracy to engage in insurrection.
22	Although the tweet itself is admissible, the remainder is inadmissible for the reasons stated in the objection to Finding 1. This Finding is also needlessly cumulative under C.R.E 403, as it contains the same information noted in other findings.
23	Although the tweet itself is admissible, the remainder is inadmissible for the reasons stated in the objection to Finding 1. This Finding is also needlessly cumulative under C.R.E 403, as it contains the same information noted in Finding 16.
24	See objection to Finding 1. This Finding is also needlessly cumulative under C.R.E 403, as it contains the same information noted in other findings.
25	See objection to Finding 1. This Finding is also needlessly cumulative under C.R.E 403, as it contains the same information noted in Finding 17.
26	See objection to Finding 1.
27	See objection to Finding 1.
28	See objection to Finding 1.
29	See objection to Finding 1.
30	See objection to Finding 1.
31	See objection to Finding 1.
32	See objection to Finding 1.
33	See objection to Finding 1.
34	See objection to Finding 1.
35	See objection to Finding 1.
36	See objection to Finding 1.
37	See objection to Finding 1.
38	See objection to Finding 1.
39	See objection to Finding 1.
40	See objection to Finding 1.
41	See objection to Finding 1.
42	See Objection to Finding 1. This Finding is also inadmissible as a statement of a co-conspirator because Petitioners have not alleged a conspiracy to engage in insurrection.
43	First, this finding contains improper legal conclusions made outside of this Court's setting. Second, it is irrelevant under C.R.E. 402 because it does not tend to prove that President Trump engaged in an insurrection on January 6, 2021. Third, this finding contains hearsay.
44	See objection to Finding 43.

No	Objection
45	See objection to Finding 1. This statement is also inadmissible as a statement of a co-conspirator because Petitioners have not alleged a conspiracy to engage in insurrection.
46	See objection to Findings 1, 43, 45.
47	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
48	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
49	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
50	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
51	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
52	See objection to Finding 1.
53	See objection to Finding 1. There is also no indication President Trump knew about the New York Times article.
54	This Court has already found this finding inadmissible.
55	See objection to Finding 1.
56	Although the tweet itself is admissible, the remainder is inadmissible for the same reasons stated in the objection to Finding 1.
57	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
58	See objection to Finding 1.
59	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
60	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
61	See objection to Finding 1.
62	See objection to Finding 1.
63	See objection to Finding 1.
64	See objection to Finding 1.
65	See objection to Finding 1.
66	See objection to Finding 1.
67	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
68	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
69	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
70	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
71	See objection to Finding 1.
72	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
73	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
74	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
75	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
76	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
77	See objection to Finding 1.
78	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
79	See objection to Findings 1, 45.

No	Objection
80	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
81	Although the tweet itself is admissible, the remainder is inadmissible for the same reasons stated in the objection to Finding 1.
82	See objection to Findings 1, 45. This finding is especially irrelevant because President Trump had no communications with any member of the “Proud Boys, Oath Keepers, Three Percenters, and others.” The actions of “far-right extremist groups” have no bearing on President Trump’s state of mind or his intent on January 6th.
83	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82.
84	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82.
85	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82.
86	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82.
87	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82.
88	See objection to Finding 1. It also does not show what agency, group, or source gathered or submitted the “intelligence” in question. Further, assuming that something “would have been available to Trump,” like this summary—which does not contain the full report—does not show one’s state of mind. The Court may not assume the relevance of that finding based on a characterization Petitioners have made.
89	See objection to Findings 1, 82, and 88.
90	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, and 88.
91	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, and 88.
92	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, and 88.
93	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, and 88.
94	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, and 88.
95	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, and 88.
96	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, and 88.
97	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, and 88. Because this finding and similar findings relying on government reports do not say who generated or vetted the report in question, it is not reliable and therefore irrelevant. No information is contained about who the person is who made those threats.
98	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
99	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
100	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
101	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, and 97.
102	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
103	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. This finding also does not state what “groups” were planning to do so and does not show the entirety of the government report in question.
104	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.

No	Objection
105	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
106	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
107	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
108	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
109	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
110	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
111	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. It is also taken out of context and without reference to further statements President Trump made asking those gathered in D.C. to be “peaceful” and to “go home.”
112	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
113	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
114	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. This finding is also needlessly cumulative under CRE 403.
115	PETITIONERS OMITTED THIS FINDING
116	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. This Court has already found Finding #54 inadmissible. This finding repeats information contained in that finding.
117	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
118	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
119	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
120	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
121	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
122	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
123	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. Further, asking what “they meant by that” shows that President Trump was not aware of what was going on at the Capitol.
124	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. Further, the use of the word “believes” affirmatively demonstrates that these findings of the Select Committee are mere opinions.
125	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 88, and 97. This Court has excluded the transcribed testimony of White House Counsel Cipollone.
126	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 88, and 97.
127	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 88, and 97.
128	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 88, and 97.
129	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
130	Although the tweet itself is admissible, the remainder of this finding is inadmissible for the same reasons stated in the objection to Findings 1, 45.
131	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
132	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. This Court has excluded transcribed testimony. There is also no evidence in this case that President Trump was watching Fox News.

No	Objection
133	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
134	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
135	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The statement “we found no evidence that the President expressed any remorse that day” is pure speculation and opinion evidence.
136	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
137	See objection to Findings 1, 45.
138	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
139	See objection to Findings 1, 82, 88, and 97.
140	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
141	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is needlessly cumulative, as it is also contained in other findings Petitioners seek to admit.
142	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is needlessly cumulative.
143	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is needlessly cumulative.
144	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
145	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
146	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
147	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
148	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
149	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
150	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
151	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
152	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
153	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative. This Court also excluded transcribed interview testimony, including Bill Barr’s.
154	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.

No	Objection
155	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97.
156	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
157	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
158	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
159	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
160	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
161	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
162	See objection to Findings 1, 45, and 88. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
163	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
164	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
165	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
166	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
167	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
168	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
169	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
170	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
171	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
172	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 88, 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative. This finding is also inadmissible to the extent it relied on Bill Barr's transcribed testimony, which this Court excluded.
173	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
174	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.

No	Objection
175	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative. This finding also does not disclose who the “outside experts” were.
176	This Court has already found this finding inadmissible.
177	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative. Because this Court excluded Finding 176, it should also exclude this one.
178	See objection to Finding 1.
179	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
180	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
181	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
182	See objection to Finding 43.
183	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
184	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
185	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
186	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
187	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
188	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
189	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
190	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
191	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
192	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
193	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
194	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
195	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.

No	Objection
196	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
197	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
198	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
199	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
200	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
201	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
202	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
203	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
204	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
205	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
206	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
207	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
208	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
209	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
210	See objection to Finding 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
211	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
212	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
213	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative. This Court has already found Bill Barr's transcribed testimony inadmissible.
214	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.

No	Objection
215	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
216	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
217	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative. This Court has already found Bill Barr's transcribed testimony inadmissible.
218	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative. This Court has already found Pat Cipollone's transcribed testimony inadmissible.
219	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
220	See objection to Finding 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
221	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
222	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
223	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
224	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
225	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
226	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
227	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
228	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative. This Court has excluded Pat Cipollone's transcribed testimony.
229	This Court has already found this finding inadmissible.
230	See objection to Findings 1, 45. This Court has already found the transcribed testimony of Pat Cipollone inadmissible.
231	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
232	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
232	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.

No	Objection
233	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
234	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
235	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
236	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
237	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
238	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
239	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
240	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
241	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
242	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
243	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
244	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
245	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
246	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
247	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
248	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
249	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
250	See objection to Findings 1, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
251	See objection to Findings 1, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
252	See objection to Findings 1, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.

No	Objection
253	NO OBJECTION.
254	See objection to Findings 1, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
255	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
256	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
257	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
258	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
259	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
260	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
261	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
262	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
263	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
264	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
265	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
266	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
267	See objection to Finding 1.
268	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
269	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
270	See objection to Finding 1.
271	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82.
272	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also highly irrelevant as it occurred months before January 6, 2021 and does not indicate that it was both President Biden and the debate moderator who suggested President Trump denounce the Proud Boys. President Trump clarified his comments at this debate and after.

No	Objection
273	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
274	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
275	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
276	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
277	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
278	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
279	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
280	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
281	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
282	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
283	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
284	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
285	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
286	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
287	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
288	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
289	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
290	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
291	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
292	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.

No	Objection
293	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
294	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
295	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
296	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
297	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
298	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
299	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
300	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
301	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
302	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative. The use of the phrase “vaccine skeptic” is also irrelevant and gratuitous.
303	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
304	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
305	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
306	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
307	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
308	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
309	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
310	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
311	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
312	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.

No	Objection
313	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
314	NO OBJECTION.
315	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
316	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
317	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
318	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
319	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
320	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
321	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
322	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
323	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
324	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
325	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
326	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
327	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
328	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
329	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
330	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
331	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
332	See objection to Finding 1. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.

No	Objection
333	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
334	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
335	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
336	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
337	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
338	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
339	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
340	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
341	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
342	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
343	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
344	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
345	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
346	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
347	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
348	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
349	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
350	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
351	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
352	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.

No	Objection
353	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
354	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
355	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
356	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
357	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
358	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
359	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
360	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
361	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
362	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
363	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
364	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
365	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
366	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
367	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
368	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
369	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
370	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
371	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
372	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.

No	Objection
373	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
374	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
375	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
376	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
377	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
378	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
379	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
380	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
381	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
382	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
383	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
384	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
385	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
386	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
387	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
388	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
389	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
390	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
391	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
392	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.

No	Objection
393	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
394	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
395	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
396	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
397	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
398	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
399	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
400	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
401	NO OBJECTION.
402	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
403	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
404	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
405	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
406	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
407	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
408	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
409	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82, 88, and 97. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
410	See objection to Findings 1, 45. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.
411	See objection to Findings 1, 45, 82. The information in this finding is also needlessly cumulative.

Respectfully submitted this 28th day of October 2023,

GESSLER BLUE LLC

s/ Scott E. Gessler
Scott E. Gessler

Certificate of Service

I certify that on this 28th day of October 2023, the foregoing was electronically served via e-mail or CCES on all parties and their counsel of record:

By: s/ Joanna Bila
Joanna Bila, Paralegal