

Colorado Supreme Court 2 East 14th Avenue Denver, CO 80203	DATE FILED: August 21, 2017
Certiorari to the Court of Appeals, 2015CA1371 District Court, Boulder County, 2014CV30681	
<p><b>Petitioners:</b></p> <p>The City of Boulder, Colorado; The City Council for the City of Boulder, Colorado; Matthew Appelbaum in official capacity as Mayor; George Karakehian, in his official capacity as Mayor Pro Tem; Macon Cowles, in his official capacity as a member of City Council; Suzanne Jones, in her official capacity as a member of City Council; Lisa Morzel, in her official capacity as a member of City Council; Tim Plass, in his official capacity as a member of City Council; Andrew Shoemaker, in his official capacity as a member of City Council; Sam Weaver, in his official capacity as a member of City Council; and Mary Young, in her official capacity as a member of City Council;</p> <p><b>v.</b></p> <p><b>Respondent:</b></p> <p>Public Service Company of Colorado, a Colorado corporation.</p>	Supreme Court Case No: 2016SC894
<b>ORDER OF COURT</b>	

Upon consideration of the Petition for Writ of Certiorari filed in the above cause, and now being sufficiently advised in the premises,

IT IS ORDERED that said Petition shall be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED as to the issue(s) set forth below, and the briefs shall be filed as follows:

- (a) Petitioner's Opening Brief shall be filed within forty two days from this date;
- (b) Respondent's Answer Brief shall be filed within thirty five days from receipt of the Opening Brief;
- (c) Petitioner's Reply Brief may be filed within twenty one days from receipt of the Answer Brief.

Pursuant to C.A.R. 54(a), the record on file will be treated as though sent up in response to a formal writ.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner will provide within (14) days of the date of this order an updated caption providing the names of the current elected officials listed as parties in this case.

The issues as announced by the Court this day are as follows:

[REFRAMED] Whether the court of appeals erred in concluding that two Boulder municipal ordinances were not final actions for the purpose of judicial review under C.R.C.P. 106.

[REFRAMED] Whether the court of appeals erred in vacating the district court's judgment rather than remanding the case for further findings regarding subject matter jurisdiction.

DENIED AS TO ALL OTHER ISSUES.

BY THE COURT, EN BANC, AUGUST 21, 2017.