## **SEXUALLY VIOLENT PREDATORS**

### **ANNUAL REPORT**



January 15, 2013

Colorado Department of Corrections State Judicial Department

## **Sexually Violent Predators Annual Report | 2012**

January 15, 2013

## Colorado Department of Corrections Office of Planning and Analysis

Bonnie Barr Senior Researcher

2862 South Circle Drive Colorado Springs, CO 80906-4195 Phone (719) 226-4376 Fax (719) 226-4361

Email: bonnie.barr@state.co.us

## Colorado State Court Administrator's Office Division of Probation Services

Angel Weant Probation Analyst

1300 N. Broadway 11<sup>th</sup> Floor Denver, CO 80203 Phone (720) 625-5754 Fax (720) 628-5799

Email: angel.weant@judicial.state.co.us

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The State Judicial Department and the Department of Corrections jointly submit this sixth annual report on sexually violent predators (SVPs). The report is prepared in accordance with the provisions implemented in House Bill 07-1172. Pursuant to section 18-3-414.5(4), C.R.S.:

On or before January 15, 2008, and on or before January 15 each year thereafter, the Judicial Department and the Department of Corrections shall jointly submit to the judiciary committees of the Senate and House of Representatives, or any successor committees, to the Division of Criminal Justice in the Department of Public Safety, and to the Governor a report specifying the following information:

- a) the number of offenders evaluated pursuant to this section in the preceding twelve months;
- the number of sexually violent predators identified pursuant to this section in the preceding twelve months;
- c) the total number of sexually violent predators in the custody of the Department of Corrections at the time of the report, specifying those incarcerated, those housed in community corrections, and those on parole, including the level of supervision for each sexually violent predator on parole;
- d) the length of the sentence imposed on each sexually violent predator in the custody of the Department of Corrections at the time of the report;
- e) the number of sexually violent predators discharged from parole during the preceding twelve months;
- f) the total number of sexually violent predators on probation at the time of the report and the level of supervision of each sexually violent predator on probation; and
- g) the number of sexually violent predators discharged from probation during the previous twelve months.

#### **BACKGROUND OF SEXUALLY VIOLENT PREDATOR (SVP) LAWS**

In 1997, the Colorado General Assembly passed Senate Bill 97-84, which enacted the Sexually Violent Predator (SVP) law. The statute applied to the following five enumerated offenses for persons convicted on or after January 1, 1999:

- Sexual Assault in the First Degree
- Sexual Assault in the Second Degree
- Felony Sexual Assault in the Third Degree
- Sexual Assault on a Child
- Sexual Assault on a Child by One in the Position of Trust

At that time, the district attorney or the probation department had discretion to request that the court make a finding that the defendant was an SVP. An SVP designation resulted in the defendant being subjected to lifetime quarterly registration.

In 1998, the General Assembly clarified that the SVP provisions applied only to persons 18 years of age, or older, on the date of offense or less than 18 years of age and tried as an adult. In addition, the definition of conviction was expanded to include a plea of guilty or a plea of nolo contendere.

In 1999, changes were made to reflect that the offense date must be on or after July 1, 1997, with a conviction date on or after July 1, 1999. The statute was changed to require that the court order an SVP risk assessment for an offender convicted of one of the five enumerated offenses at the time of the presentence investigation report, to make findings of fact and to enter an order concerning whether the defendant was an SVP. Also, in 1999 the statute was amended to reflect that the Parole Board would make specific findings regarding an SVP when considering parole release for an offender convicted of one of the five enumerated offenses and based on results of an SVP assessment conducted by the Department of Corrections. Finally, in 1999 the General Assembly added part 9 of Article 13 of Title 16, C.R.S., to require community notification as a consequence of an SVP finding.

In 2000, the statute was amended to reflect changes in the labeling of sexual assault statutes, including the renaming of "Third Degree Sexual Assault" to "Unlawful Sexual Contact."

In 2001, the statute was changed to transfer responsibility of the SVP assessment to the probation department at the time of the presentence report. The court was no longer required to make an order requiring the SVP assessment, only to rule whether the defendant was an SVP. Posting of sexually violent predators on the Colorado Bureau of Investigations website was also included.

In 2006, the SVP statute was expanded to include attempts, solicitations, or conspiracies to commit one of the five enumerated offenses. "Convicted" was amended to include a defendant having received a deferred judgment and sentence. The time for conducting the SVP assessment was changed from "at the time of presentence investigation report is conducted" to "when a defendant is convicted." The

statute, as amended, allows a previous SVP assessment within the prior six months to be used or waives the requirement if the defendant has previously been designated an SVP. The parole section of the statute was expanded to require the SVP assessment not only when considering release on parole, but also discharge. The legislation specifically cross-referenced the community notification statute.

In 2007, the statute was expanded to require the submission of the SVP report by Judicial and the Department of Corrections to the judiciary committees of the Senate and House of Representatives, or any successor committees, to the Division of Criminal Justice in the Department of Public Safety, and to the governor.

In 2008, HB 08-1247 required the Department of Corrections to notify the court if it receives a mittimus that does not indicate whether or not the court identified a defendant as an SVP. This legislation enables the Department of Corrections to return the defendant to the custody of the sheriff for transport to the court for the determination.

#### SEXUAL PREDATOR RISK ASSESSMENT SCREENING INSTRUMENT

The Sexual Predator Risk Assessment Screening Instrument was developed in 1998 by the Office of Research and Statistics in the Division of Criminal Justice. The most recent version, dated June 2010 is provided as Attachment A.

**Sexually Violent Predator** (SVP) is an offender who meets the following criteria:

- 1. Eighteen years of age or older as of the date the offense is committed or who is less than eighteen years of age as of the date the offense is committed but is tried as an adult pursuant to section 19-2-517 or 19-2-518, C.R.S.
- 2. Convicted on or after July 1, 1999, of one of the following offenses or of an attempt, solicitation, or conspiracy to commit one of the following offenses committed on or after July 1, 1997.
  - A. Sexual assault, in violation of section 18-3-402, C.R.S., or sexual assault in the first degree, in violation of section 18-3-402, C.R.S., as it existed prior to July 1, 2000;
  - B. Sexual assault in the second degree, in violation of section 18-3-403, C.R.S., as it existed prior to July 1, 2000;
  - C. Unlawful sexual contact, in violation of section 18-3-404(1.5) or (2), C.R.S., or sexual assault in the third degree, in violation of section 18-3-404(1.5) or (2), C.R.S., as it existed prior to July 1, 2000;
  - D. Sexual assault on a child, in violation of section 18-3-405, C.R.S.; or
  - E. Sexual assault on a child by one in a position of trust, in violation of section 18-3-405.3, C.R.S.

- 3. Whose victim was a stranger to the offender or a person with whom the offender established or promoted a relationship primarily for the purpose of sexual victimization; and
- 4. Based upon the results of the most current revision of the Sexually Violent Predator Risk Assessment Screening Instrument, which includes an assessment for the presence of a mental abnormality, developed by the Division of Criminal Justice in consultation with and approved by the Sex Offender Management Board established pursuant to section 16-11.7-102 (1), C.R.S., is likely to subsequently commit one or more of the offenses specified above under the circumstances specified above.

#### **DATA REPORTING**

The required information is reported below by the Department of Corrections and the Division of Probation Services within the State Judicial Department. The preceding 12-month period is defined as calendar year 2012 (January 1, 2012, through December 31, 2012), unless otherwise denoted, and the population figures are reported as of December 31, 2012, representing the population at the time of the report.

#### **DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS RESPONSE**

In recent years the Department of Corrections implemented information systems to identify offenders who have not previously been evaluated under the SVP provisions and capture the scoring instrument electronically. These enhancements facilitated the reporting of the information presented in the table on the next page.

Report Requirement Item	Required Data	Department of Corrections Response
(a)	Number of offenders evaluated in calendar year 2012	34
(b)	Number of sexually violent offenders identified in calendar year 2012	1
(c)	Total number of sexually violent predators as of December 31, 2012: Prison Community Corrections Transition Total Incarcerated Population	481 1 482
	Supervised on Parole (Level of Supervision): ISP/Maximum Out of State Parolee In Custody: County Jail/CMRC INS Deportation/Detainer Out of State Absconders	21 3 6 5 0
	Total Parole Population	35
(d)	Average Length of Sentence: Incarcerated Population prison sentence (481 offenders*) Prison sentence average of the current 35 parolees Parole sentence average of the current 35 parolees	30.7 years 5.6 years 3.1 years
(e)	Calendar Year 2012 Discharges: from parole from prison	7 16

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes three offenders with a life with no parole sentence.

The Division of Adult Parole, Community Corrections and YOS is responsible for supervising SVP offenders in all division programs (i.e., residential community corrections, intensive supervision program for inmates, regular parole, and intensive supervision program for parolees). Regardless of the program, SVPs are the most intensely supervised offenders and are managed according to the standards established by the Sex Offender Management Board, pursuant to C.R.S. 16-11.7-101 through 106. The statutes were amended in 2011. Using a designed level system, SVP offenders can progress to a lower level of supervision, based on risk and compliance and the approval of the entire community supervision team. They are no longer classified as "maximum" throughout their supervision period; it is now on a case-by-case basis. Community re-entry specialists are assigned to this population to provide stabilization strategies (housing, employment, education, transportation, clothing, tools, etc.). Community parole officers are required to conduct home visits, employment verifications, and staffing with approved treatment providers. Curfews are managed with electronic monitoring to include GPS, home detention, and the electronic paging system. Residential program placement, following acceptance by local community boards, may also be a condition of parole.

#### **PROBATION RESPONSE**

Using E-Clipse, the State Judicial Department's case management information system, staff at the Division of Probation Services selected data associated with offenders convicted of one of the following sexually violent predator (SVP) qualifying offenses (including attempts, solicitations, or conspiracies, as well as deferred judgment and sentences) for calendar year (CY) 2012 for review in preparation of this report.

- Felony sexual assault, in violation of section 18-3-402, C.R.S., or sexual assault in the first degree, in violation of section 18-3-402, C.R.S., as it existed prior to July 1, 2000; Misdemeanor sexual assault, in violation of 18-3-402(1)(e). ("At the time of the commission of the act, the victim is at least fifteen years of age but less than seventeen years of age and the actor is at least ten years older than the victim and is not the spouse of the victim.")
- Felony sexual assault in the second degree, in violation of section 18-3-403; C.R.S. as it existed prior to July 1, 2000;
- Felony unlawful sexual contact, in violation of section 18-3-404(1.5) or (2), C.R.S., or felony sexual assault in the third degree, in violation of section 18-3-404(1.5) or (2), C.R.S. as it existed prior to July 1, 2000;
- Felony sexual assault on a child, in violation of section 18-3-405; or
- Sexual assault on a child by one in a position of trust, in violation of section 18-3-405.3.

Pursuant to 18-3-414.5, when an offender is convicted of one of the offenses specified above, the probation department, in coordination with the evaluator completing the mental health sex offense specific evaluation, completes the sexually violent predator risk assessment, unless the evaluation and assessment were completed within the six months prior to the conviction or the defendant was previously designated an SVP. Based on the results of the assessment, the court makes specific findings of fact and enters an order concerning whether the offender is an SVP. If the offender is found to be an SVP, the offender is required to register quarterly and is subject to community notification. The offender's conviction, SVP designation as well as demographic information are posted on the Colorado Bureau of Investigation web site. If the offender is sentenced to DOC and DOC receives a mittimus reflecting that the court did not make a specific finding of fact or enter an order regarding whether the offender is an SVP, DOC immediately notifies the court and, if necessary, returns the offender to the custody of the sheriff for delivery to the court, and the court then makes a finding or enters an order regarding whether the offender is an SVP.

Report Requirement Item	Required Data	State Judicial Response
(a)	Number of offenders evaluated in CY 2012 <sup>1</sup>	395
(b)	Number of sexually violent predators identified in CY 2012 Sentenced to DOC Sentenced to Probation Total	41 24 65
(f)	Total number of sexually violent predators with a sentence to probation as of December 31, 2012 <sup>2</sup> <b>Level of Supervision:</b> Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP) Level of Supervision	8
	Administrative SOISP Level (Currently serving a DOC or Jail concurrent sentence)	21
	Regular Probation Administrative Level (Out of State)	2
	Regular Probation Administrative	3
	Non-SOISP Supervision Level	1
	Non-SOISP ADMN	17
	Community Corrections ADMIN	2
	Total	54
(g)	Number of sexually violent predators discharged from probation during the previous 12 months	
	Revoked for Technical Violations	5
	Revoked for New Felony	1
	Successful Termination	1
	Deported Died	1
	Absconded–Warrant Outstanding	1 1
	Community Corrections Closure	1
	Total	11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Number of offenders referred for evaluation includes those cases that are referred to the Probation Department for a presentence investigation report (PSIR). Offenders who refuse a PSI interview, or are not otherwise available, are not included.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Probationers in the State of Colorado are assessed to determine their level of risk and need. The assessment results are used to determine program placement, intensity of supervision and to develop a case plan with anticipated contacts, goals and outcomes.

Between January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012, 395 adults convicted of one of the five (5) qualifying sexually violent predator crimes received an SVP evaluation/assessment. Of those offenders assessed, the court made a finding of SVP for 65 offenders. Of these, as of December 31, 2012, a cumulative total of 24 adult sex offenders were identified as an SVP and received a probation sentence while 41 offenders were sentenced to DOC.

There were 11 offenders identified as SVP discharged from probation in CY 2012. Of these, 1 offender was revoked for a new felony of Sexual Assault on a Child in a Position of Trust (F4); 5 offenders were revoked for a technical probation violation and 1 offender was successfully terminated from probation.

Any adult convicted of a felony sex offense and receives a sentence to probation is required to be supervised by the sex offender intensive supervision program (SOISP). SOISP is designed to provide the highest level of supervision that is provided to probationers. Probation Officers employ a containment model that assumes some sex offenders cannot or will not respond to treatment and there is no implication that all sex offenders can be successful in treatment. The goal of intensive supervision for sex offenders is to minimize the risk to the public to the greatest extent possible. The State of Colorado has adopted a model of containment in the supervision and management of sex offenders. Depending on the offender, elements of containment may include severely restricted activities, daily contact with an offender, curfew checks, home visitation, GPS tracking, employment visitation and monitoring, drug and alcohol screening, and/or sex offense specific treatment to include the use of polygraph testing. SOISP consists of three phases, each with specific criteria that must be met prior to a reduction in the level of supervision. After lengthy treatment and supervision, the court may enter an order allowing the offender to be supervised as a sex offender on a non-SOISP caseload. The offender may, however, be subject to continued restricted activities and conditions of supervision.

Administrative probation cases are active cases; however, they do not receive direct services at the current time. Examples of an administrative case may include an offender who is in the Department of Corrections, jail or work release as a condition of probation.

#### **SUMMARY**

The Department of Corrections and State Judicial continue to collaborate to ensure proper exchange of information and identification of offenders falling under the statutory provisions for sexually violent predators. Each agency continues to improve their internal information systems to facilitate more accurate tracking and monitoring of the SVP process.

#### APPENDIX A

Colorado Sexually Violent Predator Assessment Screening Instrument (SVPASI)

# COLORADO SEXUALLY VIOLENT PREDATOR ASSESSMENT SCREENING INSTRUMENT(SVPASI)

#### Pursuant to 18-3-414.5, C.R.S.

This assessment must be completed for all adult cases convicted on or after July 1, 1999 for specific sex crimes—including attempt, solicitation or conspiracy to commit those crimes--on or after July 1, 1997. The completed assessment must accompany the pre-sentence report and the mental health sex offense specific evaluation submitted to the court/parole board. According to 18-3-414.5(2) and (3), C.R.S.: "Based on the results of such assessment, the court/parole board shall make specific findings of fact and enter an order" concerning whether the defendant is a sexually violent predator. This assessment instrument combines empirical research conducted by the Division of Criminal Justice (Part 3B) with additional criteria specified by the Colorado Sex Offender Management Board (Parts 2, 3A and 3C).

3A and 3C).
Review the 2010 SVPASI handbook prior to completion of this form for additional information and instructions.
ASSESSMENT SUMMARY:
Probation officers or trained DOC staff/contractors, based on the information provided on the following pages, please check the boxes that apply. Check the appropriate boxes below to indicate that the offender satisfies the legislative criteria for the definition of sexually violent predator pursuant to 18-3-414.5(1), C.R.S.
☐ The defendant is 18 years of age or older or has been tried as an adult, and has been convicted of, or received a deferred judgment and sentence for, one of the five crimes defined in Part 1, pursuant to 18-3-414.5(1)(a)(II) C.R.S., as revised to include attempt, solicitation, or conspiracy. <b>AND</b>
☐ The conviction occurred on or after July 1, 1999 for a crime committed on or after July 1, 1997, pursuant to 18-3-414.5., C.R.S. AND
☐ The victim was a stranger to the offender (Part 2A), <b>OR</b> the defendant established a relationship primarily for the purpose of sexual victimization (Part 2B), <b>OR</b> the defendant promoted a relationship primarily for the purpose of sexual victimization (Part 2C), pursuant to 18-3-414.5(1)(a)(III), C.R.S. If the offender refuses to participate in the assessment, this criteria is automatically affirmative. <b>AND</b>
☐ The defendant meets the prior conviction criterion (Part 3A). <b>OR</b>
☐ The defendant scores 8 or more on the Sex Offender Risk Scale (SORS, Part 3B), pursuant to 18-3-414.5 and 16-11.7-103(4)(c.5), C.R.S. <b>OR</b>
☐ Meets additional risk criteria (Part 3C), pursuant to 16-11.7-103(4)(c.5), C.R.S.
<ul> <li>YES, the offender DID meet SVP criteria.</li> <li>NO, the offender DID NOT meet SVP criteria.</li> <li>The offender refused to participate but DID meet SVP criteria.</li> <li>The offender refused to participate but DID NOT meet SVP criteria.</li> </ul>
COURT OR PAROLE BOARD FINDING: 18-3-414.5(2) and 18-3-414.5(3), C.R.S. state in part that based on the results of this assessment, the court or the parole board shall make specific findings concerning whether the defendant is a sexually violent predator. Probation officer or trained DOC staff, based on the court or parole board decision, check the box that applies.
☐ The court or the parole board finds this offender to meet the criteria specified in 18-3-414.5, C.R.S. and finds that the offender IS a sexually violent predator.
☐ The court or the parole board finds this offender to meet the criteria specified in 18-3-414.5(1), C.R.S. and does NOT find the offender to be a sexually violent predator.
☐ The court or the parole board finds this offender does NOT meet the criteria specified in 18-3-414.5, C.R.S. and finds that the offender is NOT a sexually violent predator.

Following the court finding, Probation Officers must mail or fax all completed pages within one month to:

Office of Research and Statistics Division of Criminal Justice 700 Kipling Street, Ste 1000 Denver, CO 80215 Fax: (303) 239-4491

#### **BACKGROUND**

P 2 of 7

Probation officers and sex offender evaluators listed on the Sex Offender Management Board (SOMB) provider list or <u>trained</u> DOC staff/contractors will complete this instrument on every sex offender that meets the following criteria:

- (I) Is 18 years of age or older at the date of the offense, or who is younger but is tried as an adult pursuant to section 19-2-517 or 19-2-518, C.R.S.
- (II) Has been convicted<sup>1</sup> on or after July 1, 1999 of one of the following offenses, including an **ATTEMPT, SOLICITATION OR CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT** one of the following, on or after July 1, 1997:
  - Sexual assault, in violation of section 18-3-402, C.R.S., or sexual assault in the first degree, in violation of section 18-3-402, C.R.S. as it existed prior to July 1, 2000;
  - Sexual assault in the second degree, in violation of section 18-3-403,<sup>2</sup> C.R.S. as it existed prior to July 1, 2000;
  - Unlawful sexual contact, in violation of section 18-3-404(1.5) or (2), C.R.S., or sexual assault in the third degree, in violation of section 18-3-404(1.5) or (2), C.R.S. as it existed prior to July 1, 2000:
  - Sexual assault on a child, in violation of section 18-3-405, C.R.S.; or
  - o Sexual assault on a child by one in a position of trust, in violation of section 18-3-405.3, C.R.S.
- (III) Whose victim was one of the following (per 18-3-414.5(1)(a)(III), C.R.S.):<sup>3</sup>
  - o A stranger to the offender (see Part 2A on page 5 of this form), or
  - A person with whom the offender established a relationship primarily for the purpose of sexual victimization (see Part 2B on page 5 of this form),<sup>4</sup> or
  - o A person with whom the offender promoted a relationship primarily for the purpose of sexual victimization (see Part 2C on page 6 of this form).
- (IV) Pursuant to 18-3-414.5(1)(a)(IV), C.R.S., and 16-11.7-103(4)(c.5), C.R.S., is likely to subsequently commit one or more of the offenses specified in 18-3-414.5(1)(a)(II), C.R.S., under the circumstances described in 18-3-414.5(1)(a)(III), C.R.S., according to the scores derived from the SOMB actuarial risk assessment instrument (Part 3A, Part 3B, or Part 3C of this form), specifically pursuant to 16-11.7-103(4)(c.5), C.R.S.

Once the form is completed by the probation officer and the evaluator or <u>trained</u> DOC staff or contractor, it should be forwarded to the court/parole board, pursuant to 18-3-414.5(2) and (3) C.R.S. Based on the results of the assessment (included on the following pages of this form), the court/parole board shall make specific findings of fact and enter an order concerning whether the defendant is a sexually violent predator.

An offender found to be a sexually violent predator is required to register with the local law enforcement agency in the jurisdiction in which they reside within five days of becoming a temporary or permanent resident, and on a quarterly basis thereafter, for the remainder of his or her natural life, pursuant to Section 16-22-108(1)(d), C.R.S. Offenders found to be sexually violent predators will also be placed on the Internet listing of sex offenders maintained by the Colorado Bureau of Investigations (CBI) and linked to the State of Colorado's homepage, pursuant to Section 16-22-111, C.R.S., and shall be subject to community notification pursuant to Section 16-13-903, C.R.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Convicted includes having pleaded guilty or nolo contendere, or having a received a deferred judgment and sentence per 18-3-414.5(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 18-3-403 C.R.S. was repealed in 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The relationship categories are specified in federal and state statute. The SOMB defined each relationship category for the purposes of this assessment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The members of the Sex Offender Management Board determined that the three relationship categories are mutually exclusive. This document reflects the Board's decision.

00/00/00		LAGGERALE GODESHING WOTH			
COLORADO	SEXUALLY VIOLENT PREDATOR	ASSESSMENT SCREENING INSTRU	MENT		
INSTRUCTIO	NS		P 3 of 7		
OVERVIEW					
<ul> <li>This instrument may require information from both the Pre-Sentence Investigation writer and an SOMB-listed sex offender evaluator; once complete, the instrument must be forwarded to the court.</li> <li>For Department of Corrections cases, a trained DOC staff member or contractor must complete the instrument and forward it to the parole board when the offender is considered for release.</li> <li>All completed forms for Probation must be faxed or mailed to the Division of Criminal Justice (see cover page).</li> <li>A copy of the SVPASI handbook can be obtained from the Sex Offender Management Board (SOMB) or downloaded from http://dcj.state.co.us/ors/risk_assessment.htm.</li> </ul>					
Summary, and upon then forwards the in statements. If the o coordination with	cer completes Part 1, Part 3A, Part 3B on completion of this instrument, the strument to the SOMB-listed sex offend ffender refuses to participate in the atthe evaluator, complete the SVPASI colice reports or victim statements are No.	Assessment Summary. The probater evaluator along with police report assessment, the probation officer (18-3-414.5(2)) based on a review of the probation of the	tion officer ts and victim shall, in of available		
	rument to be completed by the probation	on officer are designated with: P			
Instrument Summa along with the comp Sections of this instr	evaluator completes Part 2, Part 3C if a ary. The SOMB evaluator then returns to bleted mental health sex offense specific rument to be completed by the mental between the sex of the mental between the sex of the sex of the mental between the sex of the	the completed instrument to the prob c evaluation, pursuant to C.R.S. 16-1	ation officer, 11.7-104(1).		
	STAIF  taff or contractor must complete the eary, and the Assessment Summary).	entire form (Parts 1, 2, 3A, 3B, 3C, t	he		
	sed to complete this instrumer	nt must be identified:			
1.	Investigation Process  Evaluation //Documentation n or Social Service Records nformation  ords //self report or from any data source) (official record, self report) pecific Mental Health Evaluation		ts 2 and 3.		
17. ☐ Polygraph 18. ☐ Other (Specify					

### PART 1

COLORADO	SEXUALLY VIOLENT PREDATOR   ASSESSMENT SCREENING INSTRUMENT				NT	
P Probation C	tion Officer or Trained DOC Staff/Contractor Please Complete Part 1 P 4 of 7					
CLIENT INFORM	MATION					
Offender's First Name: Offender's Last N		Name:	ame: CC#: (Court Case Number)			
SS#:	SID#:		ML# / DOC# (c	rcle ID type):	DOB: (MM-D	D-YYYY)
Gender:	☐ Male ☐ Female	Ethnicit		asian In American	☐ Hispanic	;
PO Name: (Does not		es)		e Number: (Do		OC cases)
Date Forwarded to to DOC cases)	SOMB Evalua	ator: (Does not apply	Judicial Dist	rict: (Does not ap	ply to DOC cases	s)
SOMB Evaluator/Trained DOC Staff Name: Evaluator/Train			ained DOC Sta	ff Telephone	Number:	
Date of Evaluation	:		Date Returned to PO: (Does not apply to DOC cases)			
DEFINING SEVELAL	Assault Cr	DIMES (18-3-414	5(1) C R S )			
DEFINING SEXUAL ASSAULT CRIMES (18-3-414.5(1) C.R.S.)  The offender is 18 years of age or older as of the date the crime was committed or is tried as an adult pursuant to 19-2-517 or 19-2-518, C.R.S.; the offender was convicted on or after July 1, 1999 of one of the following crimes committed on or after July 1, 1997. Attempts, solicitations, and conspiracies to commit the following crimes apply. Conviction includes receiving a verdict of guilty by a judge or jury, pleading guilty or nolo contendere, or having received a deferred judgment and sentence.						
Please check the box indicating which of the five crimes qualifies the offender for this assessment. Please include attempts, solicitations, and conspiracies to commit any of the following.						
☐ Sexual assault in violation of section 18-3-402, C.R.S., or sexual assault in the first degree, in violation of section 18-3-402, C.R.S. as it existed prior to July 1, 2000;						
☐ Sexual assault in the second degree, in violation of section 18-3-403, C.R.S. as it existed prior to July 1, 2000;						
☐ Unlawful sexual contact, in violation of section 18-3-404(1.5) or (2), C.R.S. as it existed prior to July 1, 2000;						
☐ Sexual assault on a child, in violation of section 18-3-405, C.R.S.; or						
☐ Sexual assault on a child by one in a position of trust, in violation of section 18-3-405.3.						
Meets <b>DEFINING SEXUAL ASSAULT CRIMES</b> Criterion:   Yes   No						
This crime was an <b>Attempt, Solicitation, or Conspiracy</b> :   Yes   No						

PROCEED TO PART 2

PARI 2						
COLORADO	SEXUALLY VIOLENT PREDATOR	ASSESSMENT SCREENING INSTRUMENT				
P/E   SOMB Eva	luator, Trained DOC Staff or Prob	pation Officer Complete Part 2. P 5 of 7				
The relationship categories are identified in state statute. The following definitions were developed by representatives of the Sex Offender Management Board, the Judicial Department and the Department of Corrections to assist in the identification of sexually violent predators as outlined in 18-3-414.5(III) C.R.S.						
If the offender refuses to participate in the assessment, other collateral sources of information, such as victim statements or police reports, should be utilized to determine the relationship criterion. Refer to the manual for further information and examples.						
DEFINITIONS: 1) ST	RANGER, <b>OR</b> 2) ESTABLISHED A RELAT	REE SEXUALLY VIOLENT PREDATOR RELATIONSHIP (IONSHIP, <b>OR</b> 3) PROMOTED A RELATIONSHIP.				
A. STRANGER						
the offender, or has m		to the offender when the victim has never known or met r personal knowledge of said offender, prior to the ffenses are involved.				
Meets STRANGER	Criterion: ☐ Yes ☐ No					
B. ESTABLISH	IED A RELATIONSHIP					
		d a relationship primarily for the purpose of sexual (check all that apply). List all data sources used in the				
<ul><li>☐ The offender mani</li><li>☐ The offender introd</li></ul>	<ul> <li>□ The offender has a history of sexual offending involving similar behavior.</li> <li>□ The offender manipulated the environment to gain access to this victim.</li> <li>□ The offender introduced sexual content into the relationship prior to committing the initial sexual offense.</li> <li>□ The offender engaged in sexually inappropriate behavior with the victim despite the lack of consent or an inability to consent.</li> </ul>					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	two of the above items apply):				
C. PROMOTEI	O A RELATIONSHIP					
Pursuant to 18-3-414. sexual victimization w	5(1)(a)(III), C.R.S., the offender <b>promoted</b> hen <b>the first item below is present and a</b>	an existing relationship primarily for the purpose of ny other item is present (check all that apply).				
such as but not lin	nited to planning, increasing frequency of con or drugging of the victim,	ationship to facilitate the commission of a sexual assault ontact, introduction of inappropriate sexual contact,				
AND  ☐ The offender engaged in contact with the victim that was increasingly sexually intrusive over time, or ☐ The offender used threat, intimidation, force or coercion in the relationship, or ☐ The offender engaged in repetitive non-consensual sexual contact, or ☐ The offender established control of the victim through means including but not limited to emotional abuse, physical abuse, financial control or isolation of the victim in order to facilitate the sexual assault.						
Meets <b>PROMOTED</b> bottom four bullets apply		ed criteria are met when the first bullet and at least one of the				
SELECT THE D	ATA SOURCE(S) USED TO DE	TERMINE RELATIONSHIP CRITERIA				
☐ 1. Criminal History ☐ 2. Pre-Sentence Inv ☐ 3. Police Report ☐ 4. Mental Health Eva	estigation Process  aluation coumentation or Social Service Records ormation	<ol> <li>Victim Report (self report or from any data source)</li> <li>Sexual History (official record, self report)</li> <li>Sex Offense Specific Mental Health Evaluation</li> <li>Prison Record</li> <li>Self-Report</li> <li>CCIC</li> <li>Plethysmograph Examination or VRT Assessment</li> <li>Polygraph</li> </ol>				

PROCEED TO PART 3

PARI 3						
COLORADO	SEXUAL	ALLY VIOLENT PREDATOR ASSESSMENT SCREENING INSTRUMENT				
P Probation (	Officer or	or Trained DOC Staff Please Complete Parts 3A and 3B P 6 of 7				
3A. PRIOR SE	X CRIM	E CONVICTION				
The defendant has previously been convicted as an adult of at least one felony or two misdemeanor sex crimes as defined by C.R.S. 16-11.7-102(3). This INCLUDES court established factual basis sex crimes, hands off sexual offenses, Internet sex crimes and out of state sex crime convictions. This EXCLUDES deferred judgments and sentences, failure to register and juvenile adjudications. Please refer to the 2010 SVPASI handbook for further details regarding this item.						
PROCEED TO PAI	RT 3B RE	GARDLESS OF RESULTS IN	I PART 3A			
3B. SOMB SE	X OFFEI	NDER RISK SCALE (SO	RS) 2009			
Management Board ( risk to be rearrested t a new sexual arrest v new sex crime com	Pursuant to 16-11.7-103(4)(c.5), C.R.S., the Division of Criminal Justice worked in consultation with the Sex Offender Management Board (SOMB) to develop an actuarial risk assessment scale to be used in the identification of an offender's risk to be rearrested for a new sex crime. This research is described in the SVPASI handbook. Failure was measured as a new sexual arrest within 5 years. A score of 8 or above reflects that the individual is 5 times as likely to commit a new sex crime compared to those scoring 0-7  Each item is scored with either 0, 1 or 2. Check the appropriate response for each item. See the SVPASI handbook for					
YES	NO					
□ 2 □ 1	□ 0	1. Age of the offender at the or under, score 1 if offender v. Age at earliest event recorded in off	vas between 26 and 35, s	score 0 if over	· 35.	
□ 2	<b>□</b> 0	2. The offender was known t spouse, relative, friend, or acquaints strangers. See SVPASI handbook for	ance of the offender. Internet			
□1	<b>□</b> 0	3. The offender has been rev more times in the past. Refers corrections, regardless of conseque revocations related to current and p	to revocations on probation, proces or sanctions. Includes p	parole, and comi	munity	
□1	□ 0	4. The offender had NOT gra offender did not graduate, score 1. UNLESS the offender has also atter college, trade school or community to obtain this information:	The definition of graduation Ended any post-secondary edu	XCLUDES the re scational progran	eceipt of a GED m, including	
□ 2	<b>□</b> 0	5. The offender has one or m misdemeanor convictions and defer sex offense, but does not include a convictions. Does <u>not</u> include lesses current/index offense (e.g. multiple	red judgments and sentence: ljudications as a juvenile. Inc rtraffic citations. Excludes co	s that occurred p ludes misdemea nvictions related	rior to the index nor traffic	
□1	<b>□</b> 0	6. The offender moved 2 or nactual index/current offense. time frame. Score 1 if there has bee score 0 and list sources utilized to a	Offender resided at 3 or more an any period of transience in	different addres the past 2 years	sses during this	
		TOTAL SCORE (Add up to	he scores for the items che	cked in Part 3B	)	
Total score of 8 or	Total score of 8 or more: ☐ Yes ☐ No					

PROCEED TO PART 3C

COLORADO SEXUALLY VIOLENT PREDATOR	SEXUALLY VIOLENT PREDATOR   ASSESSMENT SCREENING INSTRUMENT						
E SOMB Evaluator or Trained DOC Staff Plo	aluator or Trained DOC Staff Please Complete F						
SOMB Evaluator or Trained DOC Staff Please Complete Part 3C  3C. MENTAL ABNORMALITY  Mental abnormality is referenced in 16-11.7-103(4)(c.5), C.R.S. Mental abnormality, according to statute, "means a congenital or acquired condition that affects the emotional or volitional capacity of a person in a manner that predisposes that person to the commission of a criminal sexual act" One of the following instruments must be completed by the evaluator, who must meet the minimum qualifications for administering the instrumentation utilized to make the following determination. Refer to the SVPASI handbook for more details regarding these qualifications. SKIP THIS SECTION IF THE OFFENDER REFUSES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE ASSESSMENT.							
DCJ research has found that an offender may be at additional risk	when he or she scores	:					
	Check the approp						
		Yes	No	N/A			
<ul> <li>30 or more on the Psychopathy Check List Revised (PCL-R),</li> <li>OR</li> </ul>	PCL-R score 30+						
<ul> <li>85 or more on each of the following Millon Clinical Multiaxial Inventory (MCMI-III) scales: narcissistic, antisocial, and paranoid, OR</li> </ul>	MCMI-III score 85+						
<ul> <li>70 or more on each of the following Coolidge Correctional Inventory (CCI) scales: narcissistic, antisocial, and paranoid.</li> </ul>	CCI score 70+						
If the offender is assessed with more than one of the specified instruments and a 'Yes' is indicated in any of the above boxes, the offender meets the mental abnormality criteria.  Meets Mental Abnormality Criteria:   Yes  No							
PROCEED TO THE INSTRU	MENT SUMMARY						
INSTRUMENT SUMMARY  To be identified a sexually violent predator, the offender	r must most the crit	<del>oria</del> dofin	ad in Par	ets 1 and			
2, as well as one of the following: Part 3A or 3B or 3C			eu III ai	ls I and			
Meets Defining Sexual Assault Crimes Criterion (Part 1) Al	ND	☐ Yes ☐ No					
Meets Date Requirement (Per Statute) AND		Yes No					
Meets Relationship Criteria (Part 2) AND		☐ Yes					
Meets Prior Conviction Criterion (Part 3A) OR		☐ Yes ☐ No					
Scored 8 or More on the DCJ SORS 2009 Scale (Part 3B)	<u>OR</u>	Yes No					
Meets Mental Abnormality Criteria (Part 3C)		☐ Yes ☐ No					
DID OFFENDER MEET THE SEXUALLY VIOLENT PRED		☐ Yes	(Record th	nis response			
Must meet the criteria defined in Part 1 AND Part 2 AND or Part 3A OR Part 3B OR Part 3C	ne of the following:	☐ No	on page 1				