

---

2021SC334 (1 HOUR)

**Petitioners:**

Jagged Peak Energy, Inc.; Joseph N. Jagers; Robert W. Howard; Shonn D. Stahlecker; Charles D. Davison; S. Wil Vanloh, Jr.; Blake A. Webster; Citigroup Global Markets Inc.; Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC; J.P. Morgan Securities LLC; Goldman, Sachs & Co.; RBC Capital Markets, LLC; Wells Fargo Securities, LLC; UBS Securities LLC; Keybanc Capital Markets, Inc.; ABN AMRO Securities (USA) LLC; Fifth Third Securities, Inc.; Petrie Partners Securities, LLC; Tudor, Pickering, Holt & Co. Securities, Inc.; BMO Capital Markets Corp.; Deutsche Bank Securities Inc.; Evercore Group L.L.C.; and Scotia Capital (USA) Inc.;

v.

**Respondent:**

Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System,  
Individually and on Behalf of all others Similarly Situated.

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2019CA1718  
Docketed: May 11, 2021  
At Issue: July 22, 2022

ISSUE(S):

[REFRAMED] Whether the court of appeals departed from federal caselaw on the Securities Act of 1933 when it (a) held that immaterial 'puffery' statements may become material due to nearby historical or measurable information, and (b) allowed plaintiff to plead claims based on hindsight.

[REFRAMED] Whether the court of appeals erred by reversing the district court based on a theory plaintiff never raised.

---

**For the Petitioners:**

Andrew R Shoemaker  
SHOEMAKER GHISELLI SCHWARTZ LLC  
and  
Holly Stein Sollod  
HOLLAND HART LLP and  
Paul Howard Schwartz  
SHOEMAKER GHISELLI SCHWARTZ LLC

**For the Respondent:**

Thomas C. Goldstein  
GOLDSTEIN & RUSSELL, P.C.  
and  
Kip Brian Shuman  
SHUMAN GLENN STECKER  
and  
Rusty E Glenn  
SHUMAN GLENN AND STECKER  
and  
Thomas L. Laughlin, IV  
Scott + Scott Attorneys at Law LLP  
and  
Donald A. Broggi  
Scott+Scott Attorneys at Law, LLP

**For Amicus Curiae Securities Industry and  
Financial Markets Assoc:**

Jessica Adler Black Livingston  
HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP

2021SC477 (1 HOUR)

**Petitioner:**

Board of County Commissioners of Boulder County,  
Colorado,

v.

**Respondent:**

Crestone Peak Resources Operating LLC.

**For the Petitioner:**

Benjamin H Pearlman County Attorney  
BOULDER COUNTY  
and  
Katherine Ann Burke  
Catherine R Ruhland  
David Evan Hughes  
BOULDER COUNTY ATTORNEYS OFFICE  
and  
Steven Louis-Prescott  
HAMRE RODRIGUEZ OSTRANDER DINGESS

**For the Respondent:**

Kelsey Hiatt Wasylenky  
Jamie Leigh Jost  
JOST ENERGY LAW P.C.  
and  
Frederick Richard Yarger  
Anne M Anderson  
Theresa Wardon Benz  
Joel Steven Neckers  
Andrew Wilson Myers  
WHEELER TRIGG O'DONNELL LLP

**For Amicus Curiae Colorado Alliance of Mineral and  
Royalty Owners**

Stacy Ann Burrows  
BARTON AND BURROWS LLC

**For Amicus Curiae Colorado Oil and Gas  
Association**

Mark Mathews  
Julia Eva Rhine  
BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK LLP

**For Amicus Curiae Colorado State Board of Land  
Commissioners**

William Cory Haller  
COLORADO ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE  
and  
Aaron Joseph O'Connell  
COLORADO DEPARTMENT  
OF LAW

**For Amicus Curiae Law Professors**

Kristopher C Koski  
LONG REIMER WINEGAR LLP

**For Amicus Curiae Law Professors**

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO  
Oral Argument: Tuesday, September 20, 2022  
Bailliff: Chambers of Chief Justice Boatright

10:00 a.m.  
EN BANC

**For Amicus Curiae Southern Ute Indian Tribe**

Thomas Harrold Shipps  
MAYNES BRADFORD SHIPPS ET AL  
and

Anthony M Maestas  
SOUTHERN UTE INDIAN TRIBE  
and

David Coventry Smith  
SOUTHERN UTE INDIAN TRIBE LEGAL DEP

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2019CA2040  
Docketed: June 24, 2021  
At Issue: July 22, 2022

ISSUE(S):

[REFRAMED] Whether the court of appeals erred in adopting and applying the 'commercial discovery rule' in interpreting oil and gas leases.

---

---

2021SC533 (1 HOUR)

**Petitioner:**

Antero Resources Corporation,

v.

**Respondents:**

Airport Land Partners, Ltd.; Richard N. Casey; Paul Limbach; Nanci Limbach; Fred Limbach; Shidelerosa, LLP; Shideler Energy Company, LLC; Patrick Shuster; Toni Shuster; and Colorado Oil & Gas Conservation Commission.

**For the Petitioner:**

Tyler L Weidlich  
BEATTY WOZNIAK  
and  
Karen Leather Spaulding  
Malinda Morain  
BEATTY WOZNIAK P.C.

**For the Respondents Airport Land Partners, Ltd.; Richard N. Casey; Paul Limbach; Nanci Limbach; Fred Limbach; Shidelerosa, LLP; Shideler Energy Company, LLC; Patrick Shuster; and Toni Shuster:**

Stacy Ann Burrows  
BARTON AND BURROWS LLC  
and  
George A. Barton  
Barton & Burrows, LLC  
and  
Sean Connelly  
CONNELLY LAW, LLC

**For the Respondent Colorado Oil & Gas Conservation Commission:**

Lauren Marie Mercer  
COLORADO ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE  
and  
Kyle William Davenport  
COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LAW  
and  
Jeff M Fugate  
DEPARTMENT OF LAW

**For Amicus Curiae Civitas Resources Inc**

Alexander K Obrecht  
BAKER HOSTETLER LLP  
and  
L Poe Leggette  
BAKER HOSTETLER  
and  
Keeley Onna Cronin  
BAKERHOSTETLER

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2019CA1799  
Docketed: July 15, 2021  
At Issue: July 22, 2022

---

ISSUE(S):

**For Amicus Curiae Colorado Alliance of Mineral and Royalty Owners**

Travis William Koch  
Kelly Marie Shaw  
KOCH LAW P.C.

Whether the court of appeals erred in finding that either: (1) the mere existence of a disagreement over the extent of Royalty Owners' legal entitlements to further payments under the royalty agreements; or (2) the existence of terms that are 'subject to legal debate,' constitutes a bona fide dispute over the interpretation of a contract for payment under section 34-60-118.5(5), C.R.S. (2021).

---

2021SC395 (1 HOUR)

**Petitioner:**

Ari Misha Liggett,

v.

**Respondent:**

The People of the State of Colorado.

**For the Petitioner:**

Eric A Samler  
Hollis Ann Whitson  
SAMPLER AND WHITSON PC

**For the Respondent:**

Matthew Shone Holman  
Office of the Attorney General

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2014CA2506

Docketed: June 3, 2021

At Issue: July 22, 2022

ISSUE(S):

Whether the court of appeals erred in ruling that the defendant's voluntary statement to law enforcement obtained in violation of *Miranda v. Arizona* was admissible if he presented any evidence that 'he was insane at the time of the alleged offense.'

Whether the court of appeals erred in expanding the waiver of confidentiality or privilege in section 16-8-103.6(2)(a), C.R.S. (2021), beyond what is specifically provided for by the plain language of the statute.

---

2021SC548 (1 HOUR)

**Petitioner:**

Colorado Judicial Department, Eighteenth Judicial District,

v.

**Respondents:**

Colorado Judicial Department Personnel Board of Review and Abbey Dickerson.

**For the Petitioner:**

Michael Thomas Kotlarczyk  
COLORADO ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE

**For the Respondent Colorado Judicial Department Personnel Board of Review:**

Christopher John Lange Diedrich  
COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LAW  
and

Amy R Lopez  
UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO DENVER ANSCH

**For the Respondent Abbey Dickerson:**

Barry D Roseman  
ROSEMAN LAW OFFICES LLC

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2020CA161  
Docketed: July 20, 2021  
At Issue: July 22, 2022

ISSUE(S):

Whether the Judicial Department Personnel Board of Review is a 'governmental body' or 'lower judicial body' within the meaning of Colorado Rule of Civil Procedure 106(a)(4).

Whether the Colorado Judicial System Personnel Rules preclude district courts from reviewing final decisions by the Judicial Department Personnel Board of Review.

---