2013SA148 (1 HOUR)

In Re:

Plaintiffs:

Maurice Jones, an individual and Citizen Center, a Colorado nonprofit corporation,

v.

Defendants:

Christian R. Samora, in his official capacity as Clerk & Treasurer of the Town of Center, Colorado; Town of Center Colorado, a Colorado statutory town; Herman Dickey Sisneros, an individual; Edward W. Garcia, an individual; and Geraldine Martinez, an individual. For the Plaintiffs Maurice Jones, an individual, and Citizen Center, a Colorado nonprofit corporation: Robert Alexander McGuire MCGUIRE BAINES LLC

For the Defendant Christian R. Samora, in his official capacity as Clerk & Treasurer of the Town of Center, Colorado: Meredith Lee McDonald Peter Hector Doherty OVERTURF MCGATH HULL & DOHERTY PC

For the Defendant Town of Center Colorado, a Colorado statutory town: Christopher D Bryan David H McConaughy GARFIELD & HECHT, P.C. and Marni L Nathan Kloster Jay Andrew Nathan NATHAN BREMER DUMM & MEYERS, PC

For the Defendants Herman Dickey Sisneros, an individual, Edward W. Garcia, an individual, and Geraldine Martinez, an individual: Eric Michael Ziporin SENTER GOLDFARB & RICE, L.L.C.

For Amicus Curiae Boulder County Clerk and Recorder, Chaffee County Clerk and Recorder, Eagle County Clerk and Recorder, Jefferson County Clerk and Recorder, and Larimer County Clerk and Recorder: Thomas John Lyons Gillian Dale HALL & EVANS, LLC

For Amicus Curiae Colorado Common Cause David J Janik

For Amicus Curiae Colorado Lawyers Committee Geoffrey Charles Klingsporn DAVIS GRAHAM & STUBBS, LLP

For Amicus Curiae Jennie Sanchez and Mary McClure Alex C Myers LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER, LLP

For Amicus Curiae Scott Gessler, Secretary of State Leeann Morrill OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

For Amicus Curiae Vet Voice Foundation

Katayoun Azizpour Donnelly AZIZPOUR DONNELLY LLC and Geoffrey Charles Klingsporn DAVIS GRAHAM & STUBBS, LLP

Original Proceeding, District Court, , 2013CV30009 Docketed: June 12, 2013 At Issue: August 12, 2013

2010SC377 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

The People of the State of Colorado,

v.

Respondent:

Martin Novotny

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2006CA2204 Docketed: June 7, 2010 At Issue: February 5, 2013

ISSUE(S):

Whether the automatic reversal rule announced in People v. Macrander, 828 P.2d 234, 244 (Colo. 1992), should be overruled.

Whether the Colorado Attorney General's Office is a 'law enforcement agency' for purposes of a statutory challenge for cause pursuant to section 16-10-103(1)(k), C.R.S. (2010) and Crim. P. 24(b).

For the Petitioner The People of the State of Colorado: Rebecca Adams Jones, Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General

For the Respondent Martin Novotny: Karen Mahlman Gerash, Deputy Public Defender Office of the Public Defender

10:15 a.m. EN BANC

2011SC100 (30 MINUTES)

Petitioner:

The People of the State of Colorado,

v.

Respondent:

Daniel Lee Roldan

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2008CA2487 Docketed: February 8, 2011 At Issue: March 5, 2013

ISSUE(S):

Whether the automatic reversal rule announced in People v. Macrander, 828 P.2d 234, 244 (Colo. 1992), should be overruled.

For the Petitioner The People of the State of Colorado: Christine Cates Brady, Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General

For the Respondent Daniel Lee Roldan: Stephen Carl Arvin, Deputy Public Defender Office of the Public Defender

10:45 a.m. EN BANC

2011SC509 (30 MINUTES)

Petitioner:

The People of the State of Colorado,

v.

Respondent:

Edward Vigil

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2008CA1748 Docketed: July 22, 2011 At Issue: May 24, 2013

ISSUE(S):

Whether the automatic reversal rule announced in People v. Lefebre, 5. P.3d 295, 308 (Colo. 2000) and People v. Macrander, 828 P.2d 234, 244 (Colo. 1992) should be overruled.

For the Petitioner The People of the State of Colorado: Rebecca Adams Jones, Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General

For the Respondent Edward Vigil: Michael C. Mattis, Deputy Public Defender Office of the Public Defender

11:15 a.m. EN BANC

2011SC674 (30 MINUTES)

Petitioner:

The People of the State of Colorado,

v.

Respondent:

Eusebio Montero-Romero

For the Petitioner The People of the State of Colorado: Rebecca Adams Jones, Senior Assistant Attorney General OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

For the Respondent Eusebio Montero-Romero: Gail Kathryn Johnson JOHNSON & BRENNAN

For Amicus Curiae Colorado Criminal Defense Bar Ty Cheung Gee HADDON, MORGAN AND FOREMAN, P.C.

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2010CA833 Docketed: September 16, 2011 At Issue: October 31, 2012

ISSUE(S):

Whether the automatic reversal rule announced in People v. Macrander, 828 P.2d 234, 244 (Colo. 1992), should be overruled.

1:30 p.m. EN BANC

2011SC715 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

The People of the State of Colorado,

v.

Respondent:

Robert Sandoval-Candelaria

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2007CA759 Docketed: October 3, 2011 At Issue: For the Petitioner The People of the State of Colorado: Katherine A Hansen OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

For the Respondent Robert Sandoval-Candelaria: Ned R Jaeckle, Deputy Public Defender Office of the Public Defender

ISSUE(S):

Whether a trial court can properly delay sentencing in a felony case until a separate on-bond case involving the defendant is resolved without violating Crim. P. Rule 32(b)(1), the defendant's right to sentencing without unreasonable delay.

Whether delaying sentencing for six months until a separate case involving the defendant is resolved constitutes a violation of the defendant's constitutional right to a speedy trial.

2012SC737 (30 MINUTES)

Petitioners:

City of Brighton and CIRSA,

v.

Respondent:

Helen M. Rodriquez

For the Petitioner City of Brighton: Kelly Fae Kruegel RITSEMA & LYON PC

For the Respondent Helen M. Rodriquez: Dean S. Neuwirth DEAN NEUWIRTH, P.C. and Janet Lynne Frickey THE FRICKEY LAW FIRM, PC

For Amicus Curiae WCEA

William Joseph Macdonald WILLIAM J. MACDONALD, P.C.

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2011CA1868 Docketed: September 27, 2012 At Issue: May 28, 2013

ISSUE(S):

Whether the court of appeals erred in finding that an employer, who initially admitted liability for the injuries of its employee, did not meet its burden under section 8-43-201(1), C.R.S. (2012), because it failed to prove that the employee's injuries did not arise out of the employee's employment.

Whether the court of appeals erred in finding that a fall that occurred during the course of an employee's employment, but whose exact cause/mechanism was unknown, satisfies the 'arising out of' employment requirement of section $8 \pm 41-301$ (1)(c), C.R.S. (2012), and is compensable under the Workers' Compensation Act.