Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Márquez

9:00 a.m. EN BANC

2022SC293 (1 HOUR)

### **Petitioner/Cross-Respondent:**

City of Aspen, a municipal corporation,

٧.

## Respondent/Cross-Petitioner:

Burlingame Ranch II Condominium Owners Association, Inc., a nonprofit corporation.

## For the Petitioner/Cross-Respondent:

Robert Lapidow Jeremy Goldblatt OVERTURF McGATH & HULL PC and Anne Gill ANNE WHALEN GILL L.L.C.

## For the Respondent/Cross-Petitioner:

Christopher Griffiths Duncan Griffiths Kimberly Newton GRIFFITHS LAW PC

# For Amicus Curiae Community Associations Institute:

Andrew T. Mossman Jeffrey P. Kerrane KERRANE STORZ PC

# For Amicus Curiae Colorado Trial Lawyers Association:

Ronald M. Sandgrund Leslie A. Tuft Nelson Boyle BURG SIMPSON ELDREDGE HERSHJARDINE PC

# For Amicus Curiae Colorado Defense Lawyers Association:

Andrew M. LaFontaine

# For Amicus Curiae Colorado Municipal League:

Robert D. Sheesley Rachel Bender

Appeal from the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2020CA2088

Docketed: April 27, 2022 At Issue: May 30, 2023

### ISSUE(S):

Whether the court of appeals erred by applying the economic loss rule ("ELR") in its determination of whether a claim "could lie in tort" under the Colorado Governmental Immunity Act ("CGIA"), §24-10-106, C.R.S. (2022).

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Tuesday, October 17, 2023 Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Márquez 10:00 a.m. EN BANC

2022SC497 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

Johnson Family Law, P.C., d/b/a Modern Family Law,

٧.

Respondent:

Grant Bursek.

For the Petitioner:

Nancy L. Cohen Douglas Alan Pacheco-Myers COHENBLACK LAW LLC

For the Respondent:

Troy R. Rackham SPENCER FANE LLP

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2020CA2043

Docketed: July 06, 2022 At Issue: June 19, 2023

ISSUE(S):

Whether the division erred in concluding that a law firm could contractually require a departing lawyer to pay a fee for each client the lawyer took with them.

If a law firm can charge a fee, whether the division erred in concluding that the Reimbursement Agreement at issue imposed an unreasonable fee.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Tuesday, October 17, 2023 Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Márquez

1:00 p.m. EN BANC

2022SC399 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

Karyn Gregory,

٧.

Respondent:

Safeco Insurance Company of America.

#### For the Petitioner:

David Roth THE ROTH GROUP

### For the Respondent:

Brian J. Spano Kendra N. Beckwith Holly C. White LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP

For Amici Curiae Complex Insurance Claims Litigation Association and American Property Casualty Insurance Association:

Nicholas W.Dowd CROWELL & MORING LLP

# For Amicus Curiae The Colorado Defense Lawyers Association:

Christopher R. Reeves WALTZ REEVES

# For Amicus Curiae The Colorado Trial Lawyers Association:

Bradley A. Levin Nelson A.Waneka Robyn Levin LEVIN SITCOFF WANEKA PC

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2020CA1694

Docketed: June 02, 2022 At Issue: June 26, 2023

## ISSUE(S):

Whether the notice-prejudice rule applies to homeowner's property and casualty insurance policies.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Tuesday, October 17, 2023 Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Márquez 2:00 p.m. EN BANC

2022SC563 (1 HOUR)

**Petitioners:** 

Lisa Runkel and Sylvan T. Runkel, III,

٧.

Respondent:

Owners Insurance Company.

#### For the Petitioners:

Rodney J.Monheit Katherine E.Goodrich MOGO LLC

## For the Respondent:

Adam P. O'Brien Lindsay M. Dunn WELLS ANDERSON & RACE LLC

# For Amicus Curiae The Colorado Defense Lawyers Association:

Christopher R. Reeves WALTZ REEVES

# For Amicus Curiae The Colorado Trial Lawyers Association:

Bradley A. Levin Nelson A.Waneka Robyn Levin LEVIN SITCOFF WANEKA PC

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2021CA173

Docketed: August 02, 2022 At Issue: July 03, 2023

ISSUE(S):

Whether the notice-prejudice rule applies to homeowner's property and casualty insurance policies.

## Courts in the Community - Gateway High School, Aurora

2022SC632 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

County of Jefferson,

٧.

Respondent:

Beverly Stickle.

#### For the Petitioner:

Kimberly S. Sorrells
Eric T. Butler
Rebecca P. Klymkowsky
JEFFERSON COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

### For the Respondent:

Thomas A. Bulger SILVERN & BULGER PC

### For Amicus Curiae Colorado Counties, Inc.:

Andrew D. Ringel HALL & EVANS LLC

### For Amicus Curiae Colorado Municipal League:

Rachel Bender Robert Sheesley COLORADO MUNICIPAL LEAGUE

# For Amicus Curiae Special District Association of Colorado:

Dianne Criswell SPECIAL DISTRICT ASSOCIATION OF COLORADO

# For Amicus Curiae Colorado Trial Lawyers Association:

D. Dean Batchelder Alyssa C.E. Hill BURG SIMPSON ELDREDGE HERSH & JARDINE PC

# For Amicus Curiae State Office of Risk Management:

Eric Olson
OLSON GRIMSLEY KAWANABE HINCLIFF
and
Shannon Wells Stevenson
Friedrick C. Haines
Lauren Davison
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2021CA439

Docketed: August 31, 2022 At Issue: June 08, 2023

## ISSUE(S):

Whether a two-story parking lot located adjacent to the Jefferson County Courts and Administration Building isa building for purposes of the dangerous condition of a public building waiver in section 24-10-106(1)(c), C.R.S., of the Colorado Governmental Immunity Act ("CGIA").

Whether the County's use of the same material for the parking lot's walking and driving/parking surfaces was a design issue for purposes of the dangerous condition analysis, entitling the County to immunity.

## Courts in the Community - Gateway High School, Aurora

2022SC272 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

Arnold Roman Martinez,

٧.

Respondent:

The People of the State of Colorado..

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2019CA1308

Docketed: April 20, 2022 At Issue: July 17, 2023

ISSUE(S):

Whether an appellate court reviews a trial court's proximate cause determination for restitution purposes under an abuse of discretion standard.

Whether the prosecution proved this bike theft proximately caused the car damage for which the court awarded restitution.

### For the Petitioner:

Meredith Rose OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

## For the Respondent:

John T. Lee OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL