Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Gabriel

9:00 a.m. EN BANC

2018SC772 (1 HOUR)

In re the Marriage of

Petitioner:

Steven R. Durie,

and

Respondent:

Kelly J. Durie n/k/a Kelly J. Simmerman.

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2017CA1295

Docketed: November 1, 2018 At Issue: September 9, 2019

#### For the Petitioner:

Wendy J Smock John Henry Tatlock Courtney Jennifer Leathe Allen Steven Bruce Epstein EPSTEIN PATIERNO LLP

## For the Respondent:

Craig A Weinberg STEVENS LITTMAN BIDDISON THARP & WEINBERG LLC

## ISSUE(S):

[REFRAMED] Whether C.R.C.P. 12(b)(5) and the 'plausibility' standard set forth in Warne v. Hall, 2015 CO 50, 373 P.3d588, apply to a motion under C.R.C.P. 16.2(e)(10).

[REFRAMED] Whether a moving party may make allegations on 'information and belief' in a C.R.C.P. 16.2(e)(10) motion.

[REFRAMED] Whether a moving party is entitled to conduct discovery to support a motion under C.R.C.P. 16.2(e)(10).

[REFRAMED] What standards and procedures govern a motion under C.R.C.P. 16.2(e)(10).

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Gabriel

10:00 a.m. EN BANC

2018SC646 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

Derick Wayne Russell,

٧.

Respondent:

The People of the State of Colorado.

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2016CA2073

Docketed: September 14, 2018 At Issue: September 9, 2019 For the Petitioner:

Lisa Marie Weisz COLORADO STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER

For the Respondent:

Grant R Fevurly COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LAW

## ISSUE(S):

When the defendant was terminated from his concurrent community corrections sentences from Jefferson and Douglas Counties, and resentenced to concurrent prison sentences in both counties, whether he is entitled to presentence confinement credit in Douglas County for time served in prison after his Jefferson County resentencing but before his Douglas County resentencing.

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Gabriel

1:00 p.m. EN BANC

201	8SC91	9 (1	HOL	IR۱
2U I	00091	911	$\Pi \cup U$	וחו

Petitioner:

A. R.,

and

Petitioner:

The People of the State of Colorado,

In the Interest of Minor Child:

A. R.,

٧.

Respondent:

D. R..

#### For the Petitioner A. R.:

Anna Nikole Ulrich ANNA N. H. ULRICH ATTORNEY AT LAW

## For the Petitioner The People of the State of Colorado:

David Andrew Roth GRADISAR TRECHTER RIPPE

### For the Respondent D. R.:

Melanie L Jordan
OFFICE OF RESPONDENT PARENTS COUNSE

## For Amicus Curiae National Association of Counsel for Children

Brooke N Silverthorn Eric E. Church NATIONAL ASSOC. OF COUNSEL FOR CHILDREN

## For Amicus Curiae Office of Respondent Parents' Counsel

Ruchi Kapoor OFFICE OF RESPONDENT PARENTS' COUNSEL

# For Amicus Curiae Office of the Child's Representative

Sheri M Danz Cara L Nord OFFICE OF THE CHILD'S REPRESENTATIVE

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2017CA2038

Docketed: December 27, 2018 At Issue: September 9, 2019

## ISSUE(S):

Whether the court of appeals, in departing from the decisions of other divisions of the court of appeals, correctly designated 'fundamental fairness' as the best means to apply the second prong of the analysis described in Strickland v.Washington, 466 U.S. 668 (1984), when assessing whether a parent's trial court counsel was ineffective in an appeal from a termination order in a dependency and neglect case.

[REFRAMED] Whether an appellate court may vacate a trial court's decision in a dependency and neglect case without remanding the case to the trial court to make findings under Strickland's two-part test.

[REFRAMED] Whether an appellate court, in a direct appeal from a judgment terminating parental rights, may consider a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel based on counsel's performance at an adjudicatory hearing.

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Gabriel

2:00 p.m. EN BANC

Petitioner:

Quinten Martinez,

٧.

Respondent:

The People of the State of Colorado.

For the Petitioner:

Christen May Nickel
OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

For the Respondent:

Joshua David Ritter OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Certiorari to the District Court, Larimer County, 2017CV31055

Docketed: July 3, 2018 At Issue: September 9, 2019

### ISSUE(S):

[REFRAMED] Whether the district court erred under section 42-4-1307(7)(c), C.R.S. (2018), when it affirmed Petitioner's sentence to 365 days in jail following a second probation revocation, after Petitioner had already been sentenced to 355 days in jail for a prior probation revocation on the same charge.

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Hart

9:00 a.m. EN BANC

2017SC123 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

Kirk Thomas Williams,

٧.

Respondent:

The People of the State of Colorado.

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2014CA1547

Docketed: February 16, 2017 At Issue: September 9, 2019 For the Petitioner:

Andrea Ruth Gammell
OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

For the Respondent:

Rebecca Anne Adams
OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

#### ISSUE(S):

[REFRAMED] Whether the court of appeals erred in holding that no search implicating the Fourth Amendment occurred when police officers entered the defendant's home, accompanied the defendant's wife to retrieve contraband belonging to the defendant that his wife had hidden, and seized the contraband.

[REFRAMED] Whether, under Georgia v. Randolph, 547 U.S. 103 (2006), a police officer may remain in a residence absent a warrant or an exigency when one occupant consents but another occupant is physically present and objects.

[REFRAMED] Whether the inevitable discovery doctrine applies when police officers are not already pursuing alternative lawful means of discovery at the time of an unlawful search and seizure.

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Hart

1:00 p.m. EN BANC

#### 2018SC817 (1 HOUR)

#### Petitioners:

National Association for Gun Rights, Inc. a Virginia nonprofit corporation; Rocky Mountain Gun Owners, a Colorado nonprofit corporation; and John A. Sternberg;

٧.

#### Respondent:

Jared S. Polis, in his official capacity as Governor of the State of Colorado.

#### For the Petitioners:

Barry Kevin Arrington ARRINGTON LAW FIRM

#### For the Respondent:

Grant Thomas Sullivan
Eric R Olson
Kathleen L Spalding
Stephanie Lindquist Scoville
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

## For Amicus Curiae Brady Center to Prevent Gun Violence

Nathaniel Hiller Nesbitt Mark Douglas Gibson Lisa J. Fried HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP

## For Amicus Curiae City and County of Denver

Kirsten Crawford Kristin M Bronson Kristin R Anderson DENVER CITY ATTORNEYS OFFICE

## For Amicus Curiae City of Boulder

Thomas Acquinas Carr BOULDER CITY ATTORNEY and William James Taylor EVERYTOWN LAW

#### For Amicus Curiae Colorado Municipal League

David W Broadwell and Laurel Witt COLORADO MUNICIPAL LEAGUE

## For Amicus Curiae Colorado State Shooting Association

Richard Allen Westfall HALE WESTFALL LLP

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Hart

1:00 p.m. **EN BANC** 

For Amici Curiae Firearms Policy Coalition, Millennial Policy Center, Firearms Policy Foundation, Second Amendment Foundation Joseph Gary Samuel Greenlee **GREENLEE LAW** 

For Amicus Curiae Giffords Law Center To Prevent **Gun Violence** 

Nicole Kelley Serfoss Sarah Elizabeth Barr MORRISON FOERSTER LLP

For Amici Curiae Independence Institute, Colorado Law Enforcement Firearms Instructors Assn, Sheriff Aaron Shiplett, Sheriff Anthony Mazzola, Sheriff Allen Cooper, Sheriff Bill Elder, Sheriff Brent Schroetlin, Sheriff Brett Powell, Sheriff Danny Sanchez, Sheriff Dave Martin, Sheriff Don McDonald, Sheriff Don Wilson, Sheriff Garrett Wiggins, Sheriff Garth Crowther, Sheriff James Van Beek, Sheriff Jason Mikesell, Sheriff Jeff Shrader, Sheriff John Stivers, Sheriff Justin Smith, Sheriff KC Hume, Sheriff Lou Vallario, Sheriff Matt Lewis, Sheriff Richard Reigenborn, Sheriff Rick Valdez, Sheriff Sam Zordel, Sheriff Shannon Byerly, Sheriff Steve Reams, Sheriff Thomas Elliott, Sheriff Tim Norton, Sheriff Todd Combs, Sheriff Tom McGraw, and Sheriff Tony Spurlock David B Kopel

INDEPENDENCE INSTITUTE

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Hart

1:00 p.m. EN BANC

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2017CA1502

Docketed: November 23, 2018 At Issue: September 9, 2019

## ISSUE(S):

[REFRAMED] Whether this court should address and resolve the conflict between Students for Concealed Carry on Campus, LLC v. Regents of the University of Colorado, 280 P.3d 18 (Colo. App. 2010) and Trinen v. City and County of Denver, 53 P.3d 754 (Colo. App. 2002) surrounding the meaning of the 'reasonableness' standard of review established in Robertson v. City and County of Denver, 874 P.2d 325 (Colo. 1994).

[REFRAMED] Whether the court of appeals erred in applying the Robertson reasonableness standard after the United States Supreme Court's decision in McDonald v. City of Chicago, Ill., 561 U.S. 742 (2010).

Whether the court of appeals' interpretation of HB 1224 was contrary to the plain meaning and purpose of the statute.

[REFRAMED] Whether HB 1224 violates the right to bear arms as set forth in article II, section 13 of the Colorado Constitution.

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Hart

2:00 p.m. EN BANC

Petitioner:

Nevik Dean Howard,

٧.

Respondent:

The People of the State of Colorado.

For the Petitioner:

John Byram Plimpton COLORADO OFFICE STATE OF THE PUBLIC

For the Respondent:

William George Kozeliski COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LAW

For Amici Curiae Colorado Criminal Defense Bar, Colorado Juvenile Defender Center, and Office of Alternate Defense Counsel Ann M Roan LAW OFFICES OF ANN M. ROAN LLC

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2015CA629

Docketed: May 4, 2018 At Issue: September 9, 2019

### ISSUE(S):

[REFRAMED] Whether the disparate sentencing guidance in the transfer statute, § 19-2-518, C.R.S. (2018), and the direct file statute, § 19-2-517, C.R.S. (2018), implicates a juvenile's right to equal protection.