SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Tuesday, November 15, 2022

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Gabriel

9:00 a.m. EN BANC

2021SC285 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

The People of the State of Colorado,

٧.

Respondent:

Robert James Rainey.

For the Petitioner:

Hanna Jane Bustillo
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

For the Respondent:

Brian Sedaka
OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

For Amici Curiae Colorado Criminal Defense Bar, The National Association for Public Defense and the Office of Alternate Defense Counsel Jonathan Dickon Reppucci REPPUCCI LAW FIRM P.C.

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2017CA1133

Docketed: April 22, 2021 At Issue: September 27, 2022

ISSUE(S):

[REFRAMED] Whether the Sixth Amendment right to counsel of choice encompasses continued representation by a particular public defender once appointed.

[REFRAMED] Whether trial courts are required to apply and make record findings on the eleven-factor test from People v. Brown, 2014 CO 25, 322 P.3d 214, when assessing a defendant's request to continue trial so that a particular public defender can continue to represent him.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Tuesday, November 15, 2022

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Gabriel

10:00 a.m. EN BANC

2021SC388 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

The People of the State of Colorado,

٧.

Respondent:

William Allen Davis.

For the Petitioner:

Melissa Dick Allen
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

For the Respondent:

Mallika Louise Magner LAW OFFICE OF MALLIKA MAGNER LLC

For Amicus Curiae Colorado Criminal Defense Bar, the National Association for Public Defense, and the Office of Alternate Defense Counsel Jonathan Dickon Reppucci REPPUCCI LAW FIRM P.C.

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2018CA641

Docketed: June 1, 2021 At Issue: September 27, 2022

ISSUE(S):

[REFRAMED] Whether the Sixth Amendment right to counsel of choice encompasses continued representation by a particular public defender once appointed.

[REFRAMED] Whether trial courts are required to apply and make record findings on the eleven-factor test from People v. Brown, 2014 CO 25, 322 P.3d 214, when assessing a defendant's request to continue trial so that a particular public defender can continue to represent him.

2021SC393 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

Colorado Property Tax Administrator,

٧.

Respondents:

Co2 Committee, Inc.; Montezuma County Treasurer; Montezuma County, Colorado; Montezuma County Board of County Commissioners; Montezuma County Board of Equalization; and Montezuma County Assessor.

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2019CA1798

Docketed: June 2, 2021 At Issue: September 28, 2022

For the Petitioner:

Robert H Dodd Jessica Elizabeth Ross COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LAW and Daniel E Rheiner OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

For the Respondent Co2 Committee, Inc.:

John Marshall Cogswell COGSWELL LAW OFFICES

For the Respondents Montezuma County Treasurer, Montezuma County, Colorado, Montezuma County Board of Equalization, and Montezuma County Assessor:

Nathan A Keever Jon Tyler Burtard DUFFORD WALDECK MILBURN KROHN LLP

ISSUE(S):

[REFRAMED] Whether the court of appeals erred in holding that nonoperating fractional interest owners in an oil and gas unit have standing to separately challenge a retroactive assessment of tax on the unit, apart from the designated unit operator.

November 16, 2022 at 2 p.m.
Public Hearing - PALS Implementation Report and Plan
Supreme Court Courtroom

Courts in the Community Pine Creek High School, Colorado Springs

2021SC236 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

Elliott J. Forgette,

٧.

Respondent:

The People of the State of Colorado.

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2016CA441

Docketed: April 7, 2021 At Issue: September 27, 2022

. . .

ISSUE(S):

For the Petitioner:

Jacob B McMahon
OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

For the Respondent:

William George Kozeliski COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LAW

Whether a sleeping-juror issue is preserved where the prosecutor and defense counsel alert the trial court that a juror issleeping.

Whether there is, as the division held, 'a distinction between the waiver of the right to a jury trial and the waiver of the right to a jury of twelve,' such that defense counsel can waive the number of jurors without her client's approval.

[REFRAMED] Whether a defendant's right to a jury of twelve is waived when defense counsel alerts the judge to a sleeping juror at trial but does not raise an objection.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Thursday, November 17, 2022

10:30 a.m. EN BANC

Courts in the Community
Pine Creek High School, Colorado Springs

2021SC665 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

Sylvia Johnson,

٧.

Respondent:

The People of the State of Colorado.

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2018CA1212

Docketed: September 9, 2021 At Issue: September 27, 2022 For the Petitioner:

Patrick R Henson HENSON LAW, LLC

For the Respondent:

Joseph G Michaels
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

ISSUE(S):

Whether the court of appeals reversibly erred and violated the petitioner's due process right in affirming the petitioner's conviction on the sole count of unlawful purchase of firearms where the government failed to prove that the petitioner purchased a firearm 'for transfer to' a person ineligible to possess a firearm.

Whether the court of appeals reversibly erred in holding that the petitioner waived her right to challenge whether section 18-12-111(1), C.R.S. (2021) is unconstitutionally vague on its face and is unconstitutionally vague as applied to the petitioner when defense counsel 'resisted the prosecutor's attempt to provide the jury with a definition of 'transfer."

[ADDITIONAL ISSUE] Whether section 18-12-111(1), C.R.S. (2021) is unconstitutionally vague as applied to the petitioner because it does not define 'transfer.'