SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO

Oral Argument: Tuesday, June 20, 2023

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Hart

9:00 a.m. EN BANC

2022SC242 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

Weld County Board of County Commissioners,

٧.

Respondents:

Jill Hunsaker Ryan, in her official capacity as the Executive Director of the Department of Public Health and Environment for the State of Colorado; Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment; and Colorado Air Quality Control Commission, an agency of the State of Colorado.

For the Petitioner:

Colin C Deihl Bennett L Cohen Gina L Tincher POLSINELLI PC

For the Respondents Jill Hunsaker Ryan, in her official capacity as the Executive Director of the Department of Public Health and Environment for the State of Colorado, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment:

Michael C Landis Robyn Lynn Wille Thomas A Roan Eric R Olson OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

For the Respondent Colorado Air Quality Control Commission, an agency of the State of Colorado:

Michael C Landis Robyn Lynn Wille Thomas A Roan OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

For Amici Curiae Colorado Department of Early Childhood, Colorado Department of Human Services

Matthew John Worthington
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

For Amicus Curiae Colorado Counties Inc:

Andrew David Ringel HALL EVANS LLC

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2020CA1445

Docketed: April 07, 2022 At Issue: April 06, 2023

ISSUE(S):

Whether the division erred when applying the "Rule of Martin" to dismiss Weld County's action for judicial review of a rule promulgated by the Air Quality Control Commission ("Commission"), by holding that Weld County is (1) subordinate to the Commission in the context of air quality control and (2) that the Colorado General Assembly hasnotgranted Weld County an express statutory right to seek judicial review of the Commission's rulemaking.

[REFRAMED] Whether this Court should review and clarify Martin v. District Court, 550 P.2d 864 (1976).

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Tuesday, June 20, 2023

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Hart

10:00 a.m. EN BANC

2023SC61 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

Colorado State Board of Education,

٧.

Respondents:

Adams County School District 14 and Board of Education of Adams County School District 14.

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2020CA1035 Docketed: January 24, 2023 At Issue:

For the Petitioner:

Eric R Olson Michelle M Berge Joseph A Peters Isabel Juliana Broer OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

For the Respondents Adams County School District 14, Board of Education of Adams County School District 14: Joseph Anthony Salazar SALAZAR LAW LLC

ISSUE(S):

[REFRAMED] Whether the district court erred in ruling that the political subdivision doctrine precludes Adams 14's constitutional claims against the State Board because Adams 14 is a subordinate agency under the Education Accountability Act, § 22-11-101, et seq., C.R.S. (2022).

[REFRAMED] Whether the local control provision in Colo. Const. art. IX, § 15, confers on Adams 14 the right to judicial review of the State Board's acts and conduct under the Education Accountability Act.

[REFRAMED] Whether the district court erred in ruling that Adams 14 cannot assert due process claims against the State Board.

[REFRAMED] Whether the district court erred in ruling that Adams 14 cannot assert third-party claims against the State Board on behalf of its students.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Tuesday, June 20, 2023

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Hart

1:00 p.m. EN BANC

2021SC895 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

Jose Garcia,

٧.

Respondent:

Colorado Cab Company LLC, a Colorado limited liability company d/b/a Denver Yellow Cab.

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2017CA1381

Docketed: December 13, 2021 At Issue: March 23, 2023

ISSUE(S):

[REFRAMED] Whether the division improperly narrows causation in rescuer scenarios by creating a dual-foreseeability test and applying it to each post-onset "volitional act" during the assaultive episode to overturn the jury's causation determination and hold that using the taxi in the assault was a superseding cause of the taxi-relatedinjuries as a matter of law.

For the Petitioner:

Chip G Schoneberger Daniel Seth Foster FOSTER GRAHAM MILSTEIN CALISHER

For the Respondent:

John M Lebsack Keith Robert Olivera Ellisa Catlynne Calvet Shadakofsky WHITE AND STEELE P.C. SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Wednesday, June 21, 2023 Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Samour

9:00 a.m. EN BANC

2022SC670 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

H.B.,

٧.

Respondent:

The People of the State of Colorado

In the Interest of Minor Child:

A.A.B.

For the Petitioner:

Kris P. Morgan THE MORGAN LAW OFFICE

For the Respondent:

Rebeccca Rae Wiggins-Tinsley Katherine Elizabeth Gregg ADAMS COUNTY ATTORNEYS OFFICE

For Amicus Curiae Office of Respondent Parents' Counsel:

Zaven Taylor Saroyan
OFFICE OF RESPONDENT PARENTS' COUNSEL

For Amici Curiae Southern Ute Indian Tribe and Ute Mountain Ute Tribe:

David Coventry Smith
Lorelyn Hall
James Washinawatok II
SOUTHERN UTE INDIAN TRIBE
and
Peter James Ortego
UTE MOUNTAIN UTE TRIBE

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2021CA764 Docketed: September 7, 2022 At Issue:

ISSUE(S):

Whether the division erred in its analysis of C.R.S. § 19-1-126 and 25 C.F.R. § 23.107 in concluding that the Department had exercised due diligence in gathering information to determine whether the child was an Indian child when the Department failed to contact Native American tribes specifically identified by Mother through which she may have Native American heritage.

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Samour

10:00a.m. EN BANC

2021SC930 (1 HOUR)

Petitioners:

Killmer Lane & Newman, LLP; Mari Newman; and Towards Justice;

٧.

Respondents

BKP, Inc.; Ella Bliss Beauty Bar LLC; Ella Bliss Beauty Bar 2, L.L.C.; and Ella Bliss Beauty Bar 3, L.L.C.

For the Petitioners Killmer Lane & Newman LLC, Mari Newman:

Reza D Rimani Kathleen M Byrne TREECE ALFREY MUSAT P.C. and Thomas Buchan Kelley KILLMER LANE & NEWMAN

For the Petitioner Towards Justice:

Brian David Gonzales THE LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN D GONZALES and Brian M Feldman HARTER SECREST & EMERY LLP

For the Respondents BKP, Inc., Ella Bliss Beauty Bar LLC, Ella Bliss Beauty Bar 2, L.L.C., Ella Bliss Beauty Bar 3, L.L.C.:

Brooke A Colaizzi Raymond M Deeny Heather Fox Vickles John Terry Melcon SHERMAN HOWARD LLC

For Amici Curiae Colorado Press Association, Colorado Broadcasters Association, Colorado Freedom of Information Coalition, Gannett Co. Inc., American Liberties Union Foundation of Colorado:

Matthew A Simonsen
Daniel David Williams
HUTCHINSON BLACK AND COOK LLC
and
Edward T Ramey
TIERNEY LAWRENCE LLC

For Amicus Curiae Colorado Defense Lawyers Association:

John M Palmeri John Roger Mann GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI LLP

For Amicus Curiae Colorado Trial Lawyers Association:

Anna Natividad Martinez
MARTINEZ LAW COLORADO LLC
and
Esteban A Martinez
MARTINEZ LAW LLC

For Amici Curiae Impact Fund, Colorado Cross-Disability Coalition, Disability Law Colorado, Legal Aid at Work, Public Counsel, Public Justice:

Amy Farr Robertson FOX & ROBERTSON, PC

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Wednesday, June 21, 2023

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Samour

10:00 a.m. EN BANC

Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals, 2020CA298

Docketed: December 22, 2021

At Issue: May 17, 2023

ISSUE(S):

Whether the common law litigation privilege for party-generated publicity in pending class action litigation excludes situations in which the identities of class members are ascertainable through discovery.