District Court, Arapahoe County, Colorado	Filed
Arapahoe County Courthouse	. 1100
7325 S. Potomac St., Centennial, CO 80112	007 0 000
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO.	OCT 2 2012
Plaintiff	
	CLERK OF THE COMBINED COURT
v.	ARAPAHOE COUNTY, COLORADO
JAMES HOLMES,	
Defendant	σ COURT USE ONLY σ
DOUGLAS K. WILSON, Colorado State Public Defender	Case No. 12CR1522
Daniel King (No. 26129)	
Tamara A. Brady (No. 20728)	
Chief Trial Deputy State Public Defenders	
1290 Broadway, Suite 900	
Denver, Colorado 80203	
Phone (303) 764-1400 Fax (303) 764-1478	Division 22
E-mail: state.pubdef@coloradodefenders.us	DIVISION 22
Definant. State. Publicita/coloradode lenders. us	

MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING TO INVESTIGATE VIOLATION OF COURT'S ORDER LIMITING PRETRIAL PUBLICITY [D-018]

James Holmes, through counsel, respectfully moves this Court to set an evidentiary hearing to investigate the circumstances under which a photo of Mr. Holmes was released to the media in violation of this Court's amended order limiting pretrial publicity and Mr. Holmes's rights to due process and a fair trial by an impartial jury, as guaranteed by the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article II, sections 16, 18, 23 & 25 of the Colorado Constitution. In support of this motion, Mr. Holmes states the following:

- 1. The government, including the Arapahoe County Sheriff's Department, is by now well aware that it is subject to this Court's Amended Order Re Motion to Limit Pre-Trial Publicity. See Order D-2a. This order precludes law enforcement from releasing information to the public that it "knows or reasonably should know will be disseminated by means of public communication and will have a substantial likelihood of materially prejudicing an adjudicative proceeding in the matter." See Order D-2A, paragraph I(A); see also paragraph I(E)(5). The order also expressly states that law enforcement officers and agencies "shall not exercise their custodial authority over an accused individual in a manner that is likely to result in . . . [t]he deliberate exposure of a person in custody for the purpose of photographing . . . by representatives of the news media." See paragraph II(B)(1).
- 2. Despite this order, a photograph of Mr. Holmes was leaked to the media by the Arapahoe County Sheriff's Department on September 20, 2012, the same day Mr. Holmes appeared in court for the first time after receiving a haircut. See Attachment. This photo has been widely disseminated in the media. Because Rule 2 of Chapter 38 of the Colorado Court Rules, Public Access to Records and Information specifically bars "[e]xpanded media coverage of pretrial hearings in criminal cases, except advisements and arraignments," the public would not have had access to an image of Mr. Holmes but for this leak.

- 3. Furthermore, the photo was taken and distributed despite the fact that at the time, the prosecution's Motion for Court Order Authorizing Collection of Non-Testimonial Evidence [P-014], which included a request for permission to photograph Mr. Holmes, was pending before the Court.
- 4. This photograph appears to have been released by the Arapahoe County Sheriff's Department in violation of the Court's order, and has also, once again, implicated Mr. Holmes' constitutional rights to a fair trial, to a fair and impartial jury and to due process as protected by the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article II, sections 16, 18, 23 & 25 of the Colorado Constitution. See Sheppard v. Maxwell, 384 U.S. 333 (1966); Estes v. Texas, 381 U.S. 532 (1965); People v. Botham, 629 P.2d 589 (Colo. 1981).
- 5. Mr. Holmes respectfully requests an evidentiary hearing on this issue to investigate the circumstances under which this photograph of Mr. Holmes was taken and its purpose, the party responsible for distributing the photograph to the media, and what sanctions are an appropriate response to this violation.

Mr. Holmes files this motion, and makes all other motions and objections in this case, whether or not specifically noted at the time of making the motion or objection, on the following grounds and authorities: the Due Process Clause, the Right to a Fair Trial by an Impartial Jury, the Rights to Counsel, Equal Protection, Confrontation, and Compulsory Process, the Rights to Remain Silent and to Appeal, and the Right to be Free from Cruel and Unusual Punishment, pursuant to the Federal and Colorado Constitutions generally, and specifically, the First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitutions, and Article II, sections 3, 6, 7, 10, 11, 16, 18, 20, 23, 25 and 28 of the Colorado Constitution.

AN G

Daniel King (No. 26129) Chief Trial Deputy State Public Defender Tamara A. Brady (No. 20728)
Chief Trial Deputy State Public Defender

Lamara a. Brady

Kristen M. Nelson (No. 44247) Deputy State Public Defender

Dated: October 2, 2012

District Court, Arapahoe County, Colorado	
Arapahoe County Courthouse	
7325 S. Potomac St., Centennial, CO 80112	
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO,	
Plaintiff	
v.	
JAMES HOLMES,	
Defendant	σ COURT USE ONLY σ
DOUGLAS K. WILSON, Colorado State Public Defender	Case No. 12CR1522
Daniel King (No. 26129)	
Tamara A. Brady (No. 20728)	
Chief Trial Deputy State Public Defenders	
1290 Broadway, Suite 900	
Denver, Colorado 80203	
Phone (303) 764-1400 Fax (303) 764-1478	Division 22
E-mail: state.pubdef@coloradodefenders.us	
ORDER RE: MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY HE	CARING TO INVESTIGATE
VIOLATION OF COURT'S ORDER LIMITING PR	RETRIAL PUBLICITY [D-018]
Defendant's motion is hereby GRANTED	DENIED .
•	
BY THE COURT:	
JUDGE	Dated

I hereb	y certify that on _	10/2/12	, 2012, I
	mailed, via the Ur faxed, or hand-delivered	nited States Mail	l ,
a true a	and correct copy of	the above and f	foregoing document to:
Rich C Karen Office 6450 S Center	Chambers Drman Pearson of the District Atto Revere Parkway anial, Colorado 801 20-874-8501	•	
	ALUX		

DEBATE? It's obvious.

President Obamas restrictive energy policies have written off

of the American people.

Newsletter: Sign In | Register

Commenting: Sign In | Register Tuesday, October 02, 2012

denverpost.com

HOME | MEDIA KIT | SIGN UP FOR BREAKING NEWS EMAIL ALERTS | PHOTO GALLERIES

Entertainment Lifestyles

Opinion Your Hub Shopping

Autos

Real Estate

Classifieds

WEEKLY AD SPECIALS

LOCAL NEWS





. PRINT ⊠ EMAIL ☐ 34 COMMENTS

Prosecution gives up fight for theater shooting suspect's notebook

By Jessica Fender and John Ingold

POSTED: 09/20/2012 09:46:57 AM MDT 09/21/2012 02:23:28 AM MDT



Chief Deputy District Attorney Karen Pearson, left, talks to Aurora police major crimes Detective Craig Appel as they leave court after a hearing for suspected movie theater shooter James Holmes in district court in Centennial on Thursday, Sept. 20, 2012. (AP | Ed Andrieski)

CENTENNIAL - Worried about delaying the case and wary of traveling in "uncharted legal waters," prosecutors on Thursday suspended their attempts to see a notebook that accused Aurora movie theater killer James Holmes mailed to his psychiatrist.

In a hearing expected to help determine whether the notebook is protected by doctor-patient privilege, Arapahoe County Deputy District Attorney Rich Orman instead announced that prosecutors were backing down on the issue. Orman said, whatever the judge decided on the notebook, the ruling likely would have been appealed to the state Supreme

Court, prompting a months-



This undated photo provided Sept. 20, 2012 by the Arapahoe County Sheriff's Office shows Colorado

POPULAR TALKERS RECOMMENDED YEAR AGO

- Longs Peak hiker Lisa Foster shares tips for all seasons
- Dog costumes silly? Yes, and sometimes unsafe: Tips for pet owners
- Series: Therapist shares ideas on keeping relationships healthy (Part 7)
- Colorado families will love these frugal fall
- Are coupons really worth your time?
- . Tips for when kids need to gain weight
- . Ouray home to burgeoning canyoneering scene
- . Top 10 things to do in Colorado in your lifetime

FRESH DAILY. Subscribe to our Afternoon Newsletter

Email Address

» See a sample afternoon newsletter here

AURORA THEATER

SHOOTING



- Read: Court documents related to the James Holmes case.
- Timeline: Shooting at Aurora movie theater.
- Map: Shooting scene, suspect's apartment, hospitals.
- View images of the victims of the Aurora theater shooting.
- View graphic layout of the Aurora theater shooting scene.
- View photo galleries related to the theater shooting.
- More videos: Aurora shooting video roundup.
- Full coverage: Stories, photos, video, more.

long delay in the case.

movie theater shooting suspect James Holmes. (Arapahoe County Sheriff's Office | handout)

Incremental rulings by 18th Judicial District Chief Judge

William Sylvester during <u>an earlier hearing on the issue</u> had gone against prosecutors. And Orman said his side wasn't interested in making Holmes' case a guinea pig before the state's highest court on the privilege question.

"These are uncharted legal waters," Orman said. "As far as I can tell, this particular factual circumstance has never arisen before, anywhere."

Prosecutors say Holmes put the notebook in the mail just hours before shooting started at an Aurora movie theater, killing 12 and wounding 58 more.

Previous reports from anonymous sources say the notebook contained plans for the massacre — information that could provide clues to Holmes' state of mind before the attack. Prosecutors said they should be able to use the notebook as evidence, while defense attorneys said it was private and should be returned to Holmes.

One reason Orman said he was willing to drop the fight, for now, is that <u>doctor-patient privilege is waived</u> if a defendant pleads not guilty by reason of insanity or seeks to use mental health as a mitigator at sentencing.

"We think we are most likely going to get this notebook eventually," he said.

Former Denver judge Christina Habas, who now works for the law firm Keating Wagner and represents some of the shooting victims, said there is no guarantee that an insanity plea would release the notebook.

"It is very possible," she said, "but it's not 100 percent certain."

Part of the uncertainty lies with what is actually



Defense Attorney Daniel King, left, leads the defense team out of the courtroom after a hearing for suspected theater shooter James Holmes in district court in Centennial on Thursday, Sept. 20, 2012. (AP | Ed Andrieski)

in the notebook and whether it speaks to Holmes' mental state, she said.

For the time being, the notebook will remain locked in a court clerk's office, though defense attorneys will be able to make copies of it under court and police supervision.

The prosecution's decision cut short what was expected to be a day-long hearing in Holmes' case. Holmes appeared in the courtroom with tightly cropped brown hair and a couple of days' facial scruff, a marked contrast to the curly orange hair and bushy muttonchops of his previous appearances.

During the hearing, prosecutors added 10 more counts of attempted first-degree murder to Holmes' charges, bringing his full slate to 152 counts in total. Prosecutors also amended 17 counts in what appears to be a move to correct

misspellings and clerical mistakes, though <u>redactions in the public documents</u> make it unclear.

Jessica Fender: 303-954-1244, jfender@denverpost.com or twitter.com/Oh-Fender

MOST COMMENTED

Follow Jessica Fender on Twitter.



A courtroom sketch shows suspected theater shooter James Holmes during a motions hearing Thursday. (Bill Robles, The Associated Press)

↑ RETURN TO TOP » GET HOME DELIVERY

» 30-DAY FREE TRIAL OF DIGITAL REPLICA EDITION

Tweet

LIKE THIS ARTICLE? TRECOMMEND IT (3 REC'S) ALL READER-RECOMMENDED NEWS

ARTICLE COMMENTS (34)

Login | Sign Up | Email Support

Is your comment not appearing on the article? Read this.

Note: Our article pages automatically refresh every ten minutes. We cannot change this. If you start writing your comment on this page, please refresh the page before you do so — this will reset the ten-minute counter.

You must be registered to comment (your comment will be saved for you while you register). It's quick (it takes about 30 seconds) and we only require your email and name. Comments that include any offensive material are prohibited. By using our site you agree to our terms of use.

- 1. Use the "Report Abuse" link when necessary (you don't have to be a member, or be logged in)
- 2. Keep it clean and respect others don't use language you wouldn't use with your parents
- 3. Read the Post's Article Commenting Ground Rules here (link will open in a new window)

PREMEW COMMENT