SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO

Court Address:

2 East 14th Avenue

Denver, Colorado 80203

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING PURSUANT TO

§ 1-40-107(2), C.R.S. (2006)

Appeal from the Ballot Title Setting Board

Petitioners:

Jean Dubofsky and Patrick Steadman, Objectors,

V.

Respondents:

Kevin Lundberg and Will Perkins, Proponents,

and

Title Board:

WILLIAM A. HOBBS, JASON DUNN, and

SHARON EUBANKS

Attorneys for Petitioners:

Mark G. Grueskin, #14621

Isaacson Rosenbaum P.C.

633 17<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 2200

Denver, Colorado 80202

Phone Number: 303-292-5656

Fax Number: 303-292-3152

E-mail: mgrueskin@ir-law.com

FILED IN THE SUPREME COURT

JUN 0 1 2006

OF THE STATE OF COLORADO SUSAN J. FESTAG, CLERK

06SA172

**▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲** 

Case No.:

PETITION FOR REVIEW OF FINAL ACTION OF
BALLOT TITLE SETTING BOARD
CONCERNING PROPOSED INITIATIVE 2005-2006 #109
("PROHIBITION ON LEGAL STATUS SIMILAR TO MARRIAGE")

Jean Dubofsky and Patrick Steadman ("Petitioners"), being registered electors of the State of Colorado, through their undersigned counsel, respectfully

petition this Court pursuant to § 1-40-107(2), C.R.S. (2005), to review the actions of the Ballot Title Setting Board with respect to the setting of the title, ballot title, and submission clause for proposed Initiative 2005-2006 #109 ("Prohibition on Legal Status Similar to Marriage").

#### I. Actions of the Ballot Title Setting Board

The Title Board conducted its initial public meeting and set titles for proposed Initiative 2005-2006 #86 on May 17, 2006. The Petitioners filed a Motion for Rehearing pursuant to § 1-40-107(1), C.R.S. (2005), on May 24, 2006. The Motion for Rehearing was heard at the final meeting of the Title Board for this election cycle on May 25, 2006. At the rehearing, the Board denied Petitioners' Motion. Petitioners hereby seek review of the final action of the Title Board with regard to proposed Initiative 2005-2006 #109 pursuant to § 1-40-107(2), C.R.S. (2005).

#### II. Issues Presented

A. The ballot title violates the single subject requirement because the phrase, "similar to marriage," is so broad and so vague that it necessarily will mean different things to different voters. Given its inherent ambiguity, this initiative is the epitome of a surreptitious ballot measure and thus violates the single subject requirement provided by Colo. Const., art. V, sec. 1(5.5).

1274911 1.doc

B. Initiative #109 is centered around a purposefully obscure phrase, "similar to marriage." Because the ballot title merely repeats this indecipherable phrase, voters cannot understand the effect of a "yes" or "no" vote, and the title is thus misleading in violation of Colorado law.

#### III. Supporting Documentation

As required by § 1-40-107(2), C.R.S. (2005), a certified copy of the Petition, with the titles and submission clause of the proposed constitutional amendment, together with a certified copy of the Motion for Rehearing and the rulings thereon, are submitted herewith.

#### IV. Relief Requested

Petitioners respectfully request this Court to reverse the actions of the Title Board with directions to decline to set a title and to return the proposed Initiative to the proponents.

Respectfully submitted this 1st day of June, 2006.

ISAACSON ROSENBAUM-P.C.

Mark G. Grueskin, #14621

ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONERS

#### Addresses of Petitioners:

Jean Dubofsky 1000 Rose Hill Drive Boulder, CO 80302

Patrick Steadman 1257 Corona St. Denver, CO 80218

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 1st day of June, 2006, a true and correct copy of the foregoing PETITION FOR REVIEW OF FINAL ACTION OF BALLOT TITLE SETTING BOARD CONCERNING PROPOSED INITIATIVE 2005-2006 #109 ("PROHIBITION ON LEGAL STATUS SIMILAR TO MARRIAGE") was placed in the United States mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

Michael J. Norton, Esq. Burns, Figa & Will 6400 S. Fiddler's Green Circle, Suite 1030 Englewood, Colorado 80111

Maurice G. Knaizer, Esq. Deputy Attorney General Colorado Department of Law 1525 Sherman Street, 5th Floor Denver, Colorado 80203

amy Km gts



DEPARTMENT OF STATE

#### **CERTIFICATE**

I, GINETTE DENNIS, Secretary of State of the State of Colorado, do hereby certify that:

the attached are true and exact copies of the text, motion for rehearing, titles, and the rulings thereon of the Title Board on Proposed Initiative "2005-2006 #109"...

.IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF I have unto set my hand and affixed the Great Seal of the State of Colorado, at the City of Denver this 26th day of May, 2006.

Sinette Dennis

SECRETARY OF STATE

### STATE OF COLORADO

**Department of State** 

1700 Broadway Suite 270 Denver, CO 80290



## Ginette Dennis Secretary of State

J. Wayne Munster Acting Director, Elections Division

May 23, 2006

#### NOTICE OF REHEARING MEETING

You are hereby notified that the Secretary of State,

Attorney General, and the Director of the Office of Legislative

Legal Services will meet to consider all

Motions for Rehearing filed by the deadline of

Wednesday, May 24, 2006 at 5:00 p.m.

Meeting will take place on

Thursday, May 25, 2006 at 9:00 a.m.

Secretary of State's Blue Spruce Conference Room

1700 Broadway, Suite 270

Denver, Colorado

You are invited to attend.

GINETTE DENNIS

Secretary of State

AUDIO BROADCASTS NOW AVAILABLE. PLEASE VISIT WWW.SOS.STATE.CO.US AND CLICK ON THE "INFORMATION CENTER".

MAY 2 4 2006 ( ) 0 ?"

COLORADO TITLE SETTING BOARD

ELECTIONS / LICENSING > SECRETARY OF STATE

In re Title and Ballot Title and Submission Clause Set For Initiative 2005-06 #109

#### MOTION FOR REHEARING

On behalf of Jean Dubofsky and Patrick Steadman, registered electors of the State of Colorado, the undersigned moves for a rehearing of the title and ballot title and submission clause set for Initiative 2005-06 #109 on May 17, 2006.

- A. The ballot title violates the single subject requirement because the phrase, "similar to marriage," is so broad and so vague that it necessarily will mean different things to different voters. As such, voters will not comprehend the scope or nature of this measure and may unintentionally vote to prohibit the state and its political subdivisions from recognizing a wide variety of legal relationships that are generally accepted today. While proponents of an initiative have latitude in crafting their measure, they cannot use key phrases that defy common understanding and have the effect of surreptitiously changing current law. In the Matter of the Title, Ballot Title and Submission Clause, and Summary for Initiative 1999-2000 #25, 974 P.2d 458, 467 (Colo. 1999) (Title Board must be able to discern the consequences of a measure in order for it to find that the measure reflects a single subject); § 1-40-106.5(1)(e)(II), C.R.S.(single subject requirement intended to prevent surreptitious measures from being presented to voters and to prevent surprise and fraud from being practiced upon voters).
- B. Initiative #109 is centered around a purposefully obscure phrase, "similar to marriage," and the ballot title which merely repeats this phrase is misleading and does not accurately or fairly relate the legal changes flowing from adoption of this initiative. In the Matter of the Title, Ballot Title and Submission Clause, and Summary for Initiative 1999-2000 #29, 972 P.2d 257, 268 (Colo. 1999) (clear ballot title cannot be set where a ballot measure contains a "material ambiguity or concealed intent" in connection with one of its central provisions); § 1-40-106(3)(b), C.R.S. (voters must be able to understand the "effect of a 'yes' or 'no' vote").

Respectfully submitted this 24th day of May, 2006.

ISAACSON ROSENBAUM P.C.

Mark G. Grueskin, #14621 633 17<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 2200

Denver, CO 80202 Phone: 303-292-5656

Fax: 303-292-3152

Addresses of Objectors:

Jean Dubofsky 1000 Rose Hill Drive Boulder, CO 80302

Patrick Steadman 1257 Corona St. Denver, CO 80218

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the <u>24</u> th day of May, 2006, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **MOTION FOR REHEARING** was sent via facsimile device to the following:

army Knight

Michael Norton, Esq. Burns Figa & Will 6400 S. Fiddler's Green Circle, #1030 Englewood, CO 80111 FAX: 303-796-2777

# APR 2 6 2006 (N. M.) ELECTIONS/LICENSING JUM SECRETARY OF STATE

#### PROPOSED INITIATIVE 2005-2006 #109

Be it Enacted by the People of the State of Colorado:

SECTION 1. Article II of the Colorado constitution is amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SECTION to read:

Section 32. Legal Status of Marriage.

NEITHER THE STATE NOR ANY OF ITS POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS MAY CREATE OR RECOGNIZE A LEGAL STATUS SIMILAR TO THAT OF MARRIAGE, AS DESCRIBED IN SECTIONS 14-2-101 THROUGH 14-2-104, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES (2005), FROM THE "Uniform Marriage Act".

SECTION 2. Effective date.

This section shall take effect upon proclamation of the vote by the governor.

Representative evin Lundberg P. O. Box 378
Berthoud, CO 80413
email: replundberg@mac.com
(H) 970-532-3070

Wilfred G. Perkins
2508 Pine Bluff Road
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
email: willperk@adelphia.net
(H) 719-632-9547

#### **Ballot Title Setting Board**

#### Proposed Initiative 2005-2006 #109<sup>1</sup>

The title as designated and fixed by the Board is as follows:

An amendment to the Colorado constitution prohibiting the creation or recognition by the state or its political subdivisions of a legal status similar to that of marriage, as described in the "Uniform Marriage Act" in the 2005 version of the Colorado Revised Statutes.

The ballot title and submission clause as designated and fixed by the Board is as follows:

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution prohibiting the creation or recognition by the state or its political subdivisions of a legal status similar to that of marriage, as described in the "Uniform Marriage Act" in the 2005 version of the Colorado Revised Statutes?

Hearing May 17, 2006: Single subject approved; staff draft amended; titles set. Hearing adjourned 3:14 p.m.

Hearing May 25, 2006: Motion for Rehearing <u>denied</u>. Hearing adjourned 1:10 p.m.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Unofficially captioned "**Prohibition on Legal Status Similar to Marriage**" by legislative staff for tracking purposes. Such caption is not part of the titles set by the Board.